arXiv:1412.4284v2 [math.NT] 8 Feb 2015

EXPANDING MAPS AND CONTINUED FRACTIONS

MICHAEL MAGEE, HEE OH, AND DALE WINTER

Abstract. We obtain a power saving in the error term for a semigroup congruence lattice point count related to continued fractions. This is done by adapting arguments from recent work of Oh and Winter [21] that give uniform bounds for certain transfer operators in the congruence aspect. Our arguments also build crucially on work of Naud [20] and Bourgain, Gamburd and Sarnak [5]. The result we obtain, together with a certain conjecture about the multiplicative combinatorics of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ that we highlight in the sequel, can be used to obtain an improvement on the size of the exceptional set in Bourgain and Kontorovich's work [7] on Zaremba's conjecture.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Ideas of proof 7
1.2. Acknowledgements 9
2. Bounds for transfer operators: large imaginary part 10
3. Construction of uniform Dolgopyat operators 12
3.1. Consequences of non local integrability (NLI) 13
3.2. Construction of Dolgopyat operators 13
3.3. Proof of Lemma 3.1, property 3 15
4. Bounds for transfer operators: small imaginary part 21
4.1. Ingredients 21
4.2. Relevance of mixing estimates 22
4.3. Bounds for μ_{s,x,α^M} 23
4.4. Bounds for Lipschitz norms 25
4.5. Bounds for μ'_{s,x,α^M} 27
4.6. The new subspace structure and the proof of main Theorem 1.1 28
5. The modular group 29
5.1. Features of the group 29
5.2. The random walk for almost square free q 30
5.3. The mixing property for square free q 32
6. Dynamics associated to continued fractions 33
6.1. Continued fractions and Markov maps 33
6.2. The distortion function 34
6.3. The renewal equation on the boundary 36
6.4. Proof of main Corollary 1.2 40
References 45

Magee was supported in part by NSF Grant #DMS-1128155. Oh was supported in part by NSF Grant #1361673.

1. Introduction

Our initial set up is that of expanding maps on Cantor sets as in Naud [20]. We consider $k \geq 2$ disjoint closed and bounded intervals $I_1, \ldots, I_k \subset \mathbf{R}$ and set

$$I \equiv \cup_{j=1}^{k} I_j. \tag{1}$$

Our dynamics will come from a C^2 map

$$T : I \to \mathbf{R} \tag{2}$$

with the two properties

Eventually expanding: There exist $\gamma > 1$ and $D > 0$ such that for all $N \geq 1$ and $x \in T^{-N+1}(I)$

$$|(T^N)'(x)| \geq D^{-1}\gamma^N. \tag{3}$$

Markov property: For all i, j, if $T(I_i) \cap \mathrm{Int} I_j \neq \emptyset$ then $T(I_i) \supset I_j$.

One can then reinterpret T in the symbolic setting as follows. We define a $k \times k$ transition matrix A by

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T(I_i) \supset I_j \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

We assume that there is a power $p_0 > 0$ with $A^{p_0} > 0$; this is the case when T is topologically mixing. We then obtain via A a one sided subshift of finite type on the letters $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ consisting of sequences that are permissible under A:

$$\Sigma_A^+ = \{x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{1, \ldots, k\}^{\mathbb{N}} \, : \, A_{x_i, x_{i+1}} = 1 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}\}. \tag{5}$$

In our key example of continued fractions this is the full shift with $A_{ij} = 1$ for all i and j. The shift map σ shifts sequences to the left so that $(\sigma x)_n = x_{n+1}$. We define

$$K = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} T^{-i}(I). \tag{6}$$

Then K is closed and invariant under T and the dynamical systems (K, T) and (Σ_A^+, σ) are topologically conjugate with each other.

We are also given a real valued function $\tau \in C^1(I)$ that is to be thought of as part of the dynamics[1]. We assume that τ is *eventually positive*, which means that there is some $N \geq 1$ such that

$$\tau^N(x) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \tau(T^i x) \tag{7}$$

is strictly positive on $T^{-N}(I)$. For μ a T-invariant probability measure on K, let $h_\mu(T)$ denote the entropy of T with respect to μ .

The pressure functional for the function $-s\tau$ is defined by

$$P(-s\tau) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(K)^T} \left(h_\mu(T) - s \int_K \tau d\mu \right) \tag{8}$$

[1]The dynamical system we will indirectly study is the suspension of the subshift specified by A, by the height function τ.

where $\mathcal{M}(K)^T$ denotes the set of all T-invariant probability measures on K. It follows from the variational principle that $P(-s\tau)$ is strictly decreasing in s and has a unique positive zero denoted by s_0.

Our functional analysis begins with the Banach space $C^1(I)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{C^1(I)} = \|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty. \tag{9}$$

The complex transfer operator, defined for each $s \in \mathbf{C}$, is the bounded operator on $C^1(I)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{-s\tau}[f](x) = \sum_{Ty=x} e^{-s\tau(y)} f(y). \tag{10}$$

We wish to extend these operators in an equivariant way to the space $C^1(I;V)$ of continuously differentiable vector valued functions with norm analogous to (9). Suppose we are given a locally constant assignment

$$c_0 : I \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}). \tag{11}$$

We will view c_0 as fixed throughout this paper and we *will always assume that the values $c_0(I_j)$, $1 \le j \le k$, freely generate a semigroup, which we will denote by Γ.* For each $q \in \mathbf{Z}$, we define

$$\Gamma_q \equiv \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z}). \tag{12}$$

By reducing c_0 modulo q, we get a map

$$c_q : I \to \Gamma_q \tag{13}$$

which via the right regular representation of Γ_q can be viewed as a unitary valued locally constant map

$$c_q : I \to U(\mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q}). \tag{14}$$

Moreover these c_q have a compatibility condition for differing q, all having come from the same c_0. We define

$$\mathcal{L}_{-s\tau,q}[F](x) \equiv \sum_{Ty=x} e^{-s\tau(y)} c_q(y).F(y) \tag{15}$$

which gives a bounded operator on $C^1(I;\mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q})$ for each q. We will sometimes use the shorthand $\mathcal{L}_{s,q}$ for this operator and we will frequently write

$$s = a + ib.$$

We are going to assume that τ has a property called *non local integrability* (NLI). This is a feature of the dynamical system coming from the pair (T,τ) that goes beyond exponential mixing. We give the definition of (NLI) in Section 2 and we explain its relevance in our rough outline in Section 1.1. This gives spectral estimates at s with large imaginary part in Proposition 2.2.

For s close to the real axis, spectral bounds come from a general mixing property that is (conjecturally) intrinsic to any free semigroup $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ and the family of projections $\pi_q : \Gamma \to \Gamma_q$. In Definition 4.1 we define this property, which we label (MIX), for semigroups in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ relative to some index set $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{N}$; this property encapsulates both the ℓ^2-flattening Lemma and non-concentration phenomena for random walks in semigroups.

It does not follow readily from the literature that this property holds in its strongest possible form that would allow $\mathcal{Q} = \mathbb{N}$. This statement would go beyond already formidable work of Bourgain and Varju in [8]. There, the type of general estimate that we require was circumvented by adroit application of work by Bourgain, Furman, Lindenstrauss and Mozes [3] on toral automorphisms.

We can now state our main Theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Main Theorem)**.** *Assume that $\tau \in C^1(I)$ has the non local integrability property (NLI) and that Γ has the combinatorial mixing property (MIX) for some index set $\mathcal{Q}$. There is $Q_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $\eta > 0$, there are $\epsilon = \epsilon(\eta) > 0$, $b_0 > 0$, $0 < \rho_\eta < 1$, $C_\eta > 0$, $0 < \rho_0 < 1$, $r > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that the following holds for all $a \in \mathbf{R}$ with $|a - s_0| < \epsilon$ and $b \in \mathbf{R}$:*

(1) *When $|b| \le b_0$ and $f \in C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q} \ominus 1)$*

$$\|\mathcal{L}^m_{s,q} f\|_{C^1} \le C q^C \rho_0^m \|f\|_{C^1} \tag{16}$$

when $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $(q, Q_0) = 1$. Here $\mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q} \ominus 1$ is the orthocomplement to the trivial representation in the right regular representation of Γ_q;

(2) *When $|b| > b_0$*

$$\|\mathcal{L}^m_{s,q}\|_{C^1} \le C_\eta |b|^{1+\eta} \rho_\eta^m \tag{17}$$

uniformly with respect to $q \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Here $s_0 > 0$ is the unique zero of $s \to P(-s\tau)$ and P is the topological pressure.

A theorem parallel to this has recently been proved in the setting of convex cocompact subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ by Oh and Winter [21]. This work resolved an outstanding question raised by the paper of Bourgain, Gamburd and Sarnak [5] as to whether the Selberg zeta functions of a tower of infinite volume congruence Riemann surfaces have a uniform zero free strip. Uniform exponential mixing results for the geodesic flow has also been deduced in [21] in the congruence aspect. As is well-known (cf. [19]), such a result yields a uniform power-savings error term in the associated congruence lattice point count (cf. Corollary 1.2 below). Theorem 1.1 will give a parallel proof of a uniform zero-free strip of the family of Selberg zeta functions. The translation from Theorem 1.1 to such a result is briefly explained in [5], the relevant non local integrability property having been established by Naud [20].

The proof of Part 1 of Theorem 1.1 is a mild adaptation of work of Bourgain, Gamburd and Sarnak from [5]. The proof of Part 2 transports the main observation of [21] that the non local integrability property is still effective in the vector valued setting of [21] to the current setting of expanding maps on the real line. All these ideas stem from the important work of Dolgopyat [11] that was translated to the setting under consideration by Naud [20], building on work of Stoyanov [25]. Indeed, in [20], Naud proves the analog of Theorem 1.1 when there is no congruence dependence.

We turn now to something more concrete. The reason we have chosen our particular setup is that there is an important application to a dynamical system associated to continued fractions and in particular to Zaremba's conjecture ([26], [27]). We have chosen to make this system the case study of this paper.

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$ be any finite alphabet with at least two elements. We define the semigroup $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ to consist of all possible products of matrices

$$g_a \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A} \tag{18}$$

in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$. We will pass to the (free) semigroup $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ generated by matrices of the form

$$S_{\mathcal{A}} \equiv \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & a \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & a' \end{pmatrix} : \quad a, a' \in \mathcal{A} \right\}. \tag{19}$$

The family of semigroups $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ was studied by Bourgain and Kontorovich in [7] in connection with continued fractions. If $x \in (0,1)$ has continued fraction expansion

$$x = \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \ddots \cfrac{1}{a_k + \ddots}}} \tag{20}$$

we write $x = [a_1, a_2, \ldots]$. The numbers $a_j \in \mathbb{N}$ are called the partial quotients of x. If $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the set of all infinite continued fractions of the form $[a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots]$ where all $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$, it is not hard to see that if we have some fixed origin o in the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$, then $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$ coincides with the set of accumulation points of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}(o)$ in $\mathbf{R}$, where $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ acts as Möbius transformations. This set is Cantor-like with associated Hausdorff dimension $0 < \delta = \delta_{\mathcal{A}} < 1$.

We discuss lattice point count results for the semigroup $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ which are uniform in the congruence aspect, with respect to the matrix norm

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}. \tag{21}$$

In Section 6, we show that for each alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ there is a corresponding choice of I, T and τ so that the periodic orbits of T are related via τ to the traces of elements in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$. Moreover under this correspondence the zero s_0 of the pressure functional $P(-s\tau)$ coincides with $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}$.

By work of Lalley [17] and a certain effectivization that we give in Section 6, it is possible to infer from our main Theorem 1.1 that one obtains a uniform power saving in the error term of the appropriate lattice point count. To this end we show in Proposition 6.3 that the distortion function τ associated to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ has the non local integrability (NLI) property.

We prove our counting result conditionally on the combinatorial mixing property (MIX) for the $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ stated in Definition 4.1.

Corollary 1.2 (Main Corollary)**.** *If $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ has the property (MIX) for $\mathcal{Q}$, then there exist a finite modulus $Q_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $C > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\xi \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z})$ and $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $(Q_0, q) = 1$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{\frac{\|\gamma\gamma_0\|}{\|\gamma_0\|} \leq R \\ \gamma \equiv \xi \bmod q}} G(\gamma\gamma_0 o) = \frac{R^{2\delta_{\mathcal{A}}}}{|\Gamma_q|} C_*(\gamma_0, G|_{\mathbf{R}}) + O\left((\|G\|_\infty + \|[G|_{\mathbf{R}}]'\|_\infty) q^C R^{2(\delta_{\mathcal{A}} - \epsilon)} \right). \tag{22}$$

Here G is any function in $C^1(\mathbb{H} \cup \mathbf{R})$ which is constant on some neighborhood of the cylinders of length M in $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$ for some $M > 0$. The constant $C_*(\gamma_0, G|_{\mathbf{R}}) > 0$ is defined in detail in Section 6. The implied constant depends on M.

We note here that the methods of this paper will yield that Corollary 1.2 holds for any free semigroup Γ on at least 2 generators contained in a convex cocompact subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$.

The result of Bourgain, Gamburd and Sarnak [5] referenced in [7, Theorem 8.1] does not have such a uniform error term. Their saving is of the form $R^{2\delta_{\mathcal{A}} - c/\log\log R}$, under the same hypothesis as in Corollary 1.2.

In Section 5 Lemma 5.7, we show that if $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is any semigroup that is freely generated by $c_0(I)$, then Γ has property (MIX) for $\mathcal{Q}$ consisting of square free numbers. This is a relatively minor extension of prior work of Bourgain, Gamburd and Sarnak [5] following in turn from work of Bourgain and Gamburd [4]. The only novelty here is that we are not necessarily contained inside a free group, but we are inside the free product $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$. Lemma 5.7 thus gives

Corollary 1.3. *Corollary 1.2 holds when $\mathcal{Q}$ consists of square free numbers.*

The first named author (Magee) expects to extend the mixing property (MIX) for semigroups in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ to $\mathcal{Q} = \mathbb{N}$ (avoiding maybe some finite modulus) in future work.

Our refinement of this counting estimate is an important step towards a power-savings estimate on the exceptional set for Zaremba's conjecture. Let $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the set of all positive integers d such that for some b coprime to d, all the partial quotients of b/d belong to $\mathcal{A}$. Zaremba's conjecture ([26], [27]) states that

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\{1,2,\cdots,A\}} = \mathbb{N}$$

for some sufficiently large $A > 0$; in fact, $A = 5$ should suffice. Zaremba's conjecture was motivated by its applications to numerical integration, Monte-Carlo methods and pseudo-random numbers (cf. [2]). Bourgain and Kontorovich [7] obtained the following estimate

$$\#\mathfrak{D}_{\{1,2,\cdots,50\}} \cap [1, N] = N + O(N^{1 - c/(\log\log N)}) \tag{23}$$

based on the aforementioned counting result of Bourgain, Gamburd and Sarnak [5], so implicitly conditional on the (MIX) property of $\Gamma_{\{1,2,\cdots,50\}}$ for $\mathbb{N}$. The qualitative density zero statetment $\#\mathfrak{D}_{\{1,2,\cdots,50\}} \cap [1, N] = N + o(N)$ was proved unconditionally in the arXiv version of their paper (arXiv:1107.3776v1). Recent work of Huang [14] established similar estimates for the alphabet $\mathcal{A} := \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ by improving estimates on multilinear sums using Vinogradov's method.

We remark that the relevance of the semigroup-counting problem for $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ (or to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$) to Zaremba's conjecture comes from the relation

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{A}} = \langle \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle.$$

Combining Bourgain-Kontorovich's method, Huang's refinement, and with the counting estimate Corollary 1.2 in place of [7, Theorem 8.1], we obtain the following improvement of (23) with a power-savings error term (the key point is that this enables us to replace the parameter $\mathcal{Q} = N^{\alpha_0/\log\log N}$ in [7] and [14] with a power of N).

Theorem 1.4. *Letting $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, assume that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ has the (MIX) property with respect to $\mathcal{Q} = \mathbb{N}$. Then for some $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\#\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap [1, N] = N + O(N^{1-\epsilon}).$$

We note that, in a recent paper [1], Bourgain has sketched an alternative proof of Theorem 1.4, again under the hypothesis of the (MIX) property. As in [7], Theorem 1.4 holds for any alphabet $\mathcal{A}$, provided the dimension $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ is sufficiently large and there is no local obstruction for $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$. More precisely, setting

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{A}} := \{d \in \mathbb{N} : d \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{A}} (\text{mod } q) \text{ for all } q > 1\},$$

we have:

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\delta_0 = 5/6$. For any finite alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ with $\delta_{\mathcal{A}} > \delta_0$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that as $N \to \infty$,*

$$\frac{\#(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap [N/2, N])}{\#(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap [N/2, N])} = 1 + O(N^{-\epsilon}),$$

provided $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ has the (MIX) property with respect to $\mathcal{Q} = \mathbb{N}$.

We note that $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{Z}$ if $\mathcal{A}$ contains consecutive numbers $m, m + 1$ for some m, and $\delta_{\{1,2,,3,4,5\}} > 5/6$ by Jenkinson [15]; for general $\mathcal{A}$ see also the algorithm of Jenkinson and Pollicott [16]. Also note that

$$\delta_{\{1,2,\cdots,A\}} = 1 - \frac{6}{\pi^2 A} - \frac{72 \log A}{\pi^4 A^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{A^2}\right)$$

was given by Hensley [13].

We also draw the reader's attention to the survey article [2] where other applications to continued fractions are discussed. The lattice point count of Corollary 1.2 is also related to the work of Bourgain and Kontorovich [6] on low lying fundamental geodesics on the modular curve.

1.1. **Ideas of proof.** In this section we give a suggestive proof, without any rigor, that the ideas of this paper work. We expect that the reader will find this much more useful than the technical details we will later give. Our strategy will be to create a toy dynamical system, explain how the ideas of Dolgopyat function here, and comment upon the passage to the equivariant setting of this paper. The notation we will use here is independent of the rest of the paper. Our discussion derives from that of Dolgopyat in [11, Section 5].

Consider dynamics of the form

$$\phi : \mathbf{R} \times K \to K \tag{24}$$

that corresponds to the action of $\mathbf{R}$ on compact metric and measure space K. Suppose we are also given a function $\tau : K \to \mathbf{R}$ which encodes that if we are at $x \in K$, our flow should move us forwards $\tau(x)$ in time. In other words we now have discrete time dynamics

$$\Phi : K \to K, \quad \Phi(x) \equiv \phi(\tau(x), x). \tag{25}$$

One is motivated, for various reasons[2][3], to study operators roughly of the form

$$L_\theta[h](x) \equiv \sum_{y:\, \Phi(y)=x} e^{i\theta\tau(y)} h(y). \tag{26}$$

One is interested in large θ behavior of L_θ. This is analogous, in light of well known connections to dynamical zeta functions, to consideration of number-theoretic L-functions and in particular Riemann's zeta function, close to the edge of the critical strip at large imaginary values. The goal is to control large powers L_θ^n in various operator norms that we will gloss over for now.

Let us consider briefly $\tau \equiv 1$. Then we are considering dynamics that arise from iterating[4] the map $\Phi = \phi(1, \bullet)$. One calculates

$$L_\theta^n[h](x) = e^{in\theta} \sum_{y:\, \Phi^n(y)=x} h(y). \tag{27}$$

If one assumes *that Φ is exponentially mixing*, then the sum over past histories for x becomes very well distributed in n, so that L_θ^n tends to rapidly flatten functions, hence one can achieve the desired operator norm bounds. The θ dependence is irrelevant here.

Now we incorporate non constant τ into our discussion. In the case of general τ one has

$$L_\theta^n[h](x) = \sum_{y:\, \Phi^n(y)=x} e^{i\theta(\tau(y)+\tau(\Phi(y))+\ldots+\tau(\Phi^{n-1}(y))} h(y). \tag{28}$$

Notice here that the summands now depend on the entire trajectory $y, \Phi y, \Phi^2 y$ etc. One would like to use exponential mixing properties of Φ but it is no longer obvious how to do so. Clearly the function

$$\tau^n(y) \equiv \tau(y) + \tau(\Phi(y)) + \ldots + \tau(\Phi^{n-1}(y)) \tag{29}$$

is going to feature in the subsequent analysis of L_θ. Let us try to analyze[5] the L^2 norm of $L_\theta^n h$. One gets formally

$$\int_K |L_\theta^n h|^2 dK = \int_K \left(\sum_{y_1, y_2:\, \Phi^n(y_i)=x,\, i=1,2} e^{i\theta[\tau^n(y_1)-\tau^n(y_2)]} h(y_1) h(y_2) \right) dK(x). \tag{30}$$

This can be converted into a sum over branches of Φ^{-n}. Suppose there are finitely many functions α^n such that $\Phi^n \circ \alpha^n$ is the identity on K. Then interchanging the sum one gets (supposing Φ measure preserving)

$$\|L_\theta^n h\|^2_{L^2(K)} = \sum_{\alpha_1^n, \alpha_2^n} \int_K e^{i\theta[\tau^n(\alpha_1^n(k))-\tau^n(\alpha_2^n(k))]} h(\alpha_1^n(k)) h(\alpha_2^n(k)) dK(k). \tag{31}$$

The contribution from α_1^n and α_2^n that contain pairs of trajectories that remain close through the first n iterates of Φ is controlled by exponential mixing, by estimating

[2]In our case, the transfer operator appears in the Laplace transform of the renewal equation (see Section 6.3).

[3]Another arises in the setting of Anosov flows where by a procedure of Dolgopyat from [11], contraction properties of these operators are related to the decay of correlations of ϕ.

[4]One key case here is that of Anosov diffeomorphisms.

[5]This L^2 analysis is converted into other norms by standard estimates.

in absolute values. Note that this argument would work just fine if h was a vector valued function. It remains to control contributions from α_1^n, α_2^n for which all trajectories are separated, that is, the off-diagonal terms.

The ingredient that is to be provided, beyond exponential mixing, is that for example, it could be the case that away from the diagonal all of the functions

$$[\tau^n \circ \alpha_1^n - \tau^n \circ \alpha_2^n] \tag{32}$$

have derivatives that are bounded away from 0. For large θ, this would make estimation of

$$\int_{k\in K} e^{i\theta[\tau^n(\alpha_1^n(k))-\tau^n(\alpha_2^n(k))]} h(\alpha_1^n(k))h(\alpha_2^n(k))dK(k) \tag{33}$$

amenable to non-stationary phase considerations. This is very loosely the case in our setting, with some very important qualifiers. The set K is actually a Cantor set inside $\mathbf{R}$, which means that derivative considerations are still available, but the set being totally disconnected brings technical difficulties. One other obstacle is that the non local integrability assumption that we make, following Naud, only implies that we have a non vanishing derivative for two particular inverse branches (cf. Lemma 3.2). Cancellation in the full off-diagonal is achieved by a rather technical induction involving certain Dolgopyat operators. All of the arguments of Section 3 are to this end, which can be thought of as a very elaborated version of the Van der Corput Lemma.

We have now completed our description of the methods of Dolgopyat and Naud. The purpose of this paper is to extend these results to transfer operators that have been twisted by unitary operators on a finite dimensional vector space. These twists lie in a family throughout which we wish to seek uniform bounds.

So we now turn to the extension of our previous discussion regarding large θ to vector valued functions. Our twisted transfer operator will be of the form

$$L_{\theta,u}[h](x) = \sum_{y:\, \Phi(y)=x} e^{i\theta\tau(y)} u(y)h(y) \tag{34}$$

which now acts on V-valued functions, with V a finite dimensional complex vector space and $u : K \to U(V)$ a unitary valued function. We treat locally constant u. As we have remarked before, control of near-diagonal terms appearing in $\|L_{\theta,u}^n\|_{L^2(K;V)}$ by exponential mixing still works.

The off-diagonal contribution to the (square of the) L^2 norm of $L_{\theta,u}^n h$ is again controlled by Van der Corput type arguments. The extension of this analysis to vector valued functions, in the setting considered by Naud [20], is one new contribution of this paper. It turns out that the inductive procedure of Dolgopyat can be essentially unaltered, and we have pushed down the vector valued considerations to Lemma 3.6 where we do most of our work. We need, critically, to use that u is locally constant so that it does not interfere with the previously known oscillations. This is analogous to dealing with flat vector bundles, that are defined by locally constant cocycles.

1.2. **Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank Peter Sarnak for his encouragement and support throughout this project. We would also like to thank Alex Kontorovich for the pointer to the work of Bourgain in [1]. We thank Jean Bourgain and Curt McMullen for helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper.

2. Bounds for transfer operators: large imaginary part

Recall from the introduction the set I, K, the map $T : I \to \mathbf{R}$, the transition matrix A, the cocycle c_0 and Γ. We keep these notations for Sections 2-4. Let Σ_A^- be the space of negatively indexed A-permissible sequences on $\{1, \dots, k\}$. We define for $\xi \in \Sigma_A^-$ the function

$$\Delta_\xi(u,v) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tau(T_{\xi_{-i}}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ T_{\xi_0}^{-1} u) - \tau(T_{\xi_{-i}}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ T_{\xi_0}^{-1} v) \tag{35}$$

on $I_j \times I_j$ such that $T(I_{\xi_0}) \supset I_j$. It follows from the expanding property of T that Δ_ξ is C^1 where it is defined. Naud (following others) has defined a temporal distance function

$$\varphi_{\xi,\eta}(u,v) = \Delta_\xi(u,v) - \Delta_\eta(u,v) \tag{36}$$

which is defined for each $\xi, \eta \in \Sigma_A^-$ and $u, v \in I_j$ such that $T(I_{\xi_0}) \cap T(I_{\eta_0}) \supset I_j$. We treat τ with the following important property.

Definition 2.1 (Non local integrability (NLI))**.** *An eventually positive function τ has property (NLI) if there is $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $\xi, \eta \in \Sigma_A^-$ with $T(I_{\xi_0}) \cap T(I_{\eta_0}) \supset I_{j_0}$ and $u_0, v_0 \in K \cap I_{j_0}$ such that*

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{\xi,\eta}}{\partial u}(u_0, v_0) \neq 0. \tag{37}$$

One novelty of this paper is the following uniform version of [20, Theorem 2.3].

Proposition 2.2. *There is $b_0 > 0$ such that part 2 of Theorem 1.1 holds. That is, for any $\eta > 0$, there is $0 < \rho_\eta < 1$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{L}_{s,q}^m\|_{C^1} \ll_\eta |b|^{1+\eta} \rho_\eta^m \tag{38}$$

when $|b| > b_0$ and $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, under the same hypotheses[6] as Theorem 1.1.

We now show how to relate this Proposition to the construction of certain Dolgopyat operators. Firstly we need the following Theorem. We state this following Naud [20] and the result can also be found in [22].

Theorem 2.3 (Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius)**.** *Let $L(K)$ denote the Banach space of Lipschitz functions on K. For real valued $f \in L(K)$ let $\mathcal{L}_f$ act on $L(K)$ by*

$$\mathcal{L}_f[G](x) = \sum_{Ty=x} e^{f(y)} G(y). \tag{39}$$

Then

1. *There is a unique probability measure ν_f on K such that $\mathcal{L}_f^*(\nu_f) = e^{P(f)}\nu_f$.*
2. *The maximal eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_f$ is $e^{P(f)}$ which belongs to a unique positive eigenfunction $h_f \in L(K)$ with $\nu_f(h_f) = 1$.*
3. *The remainder of the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_f$ is contained in a disc of radius strictly less than $e^{P(f)}$.*

[6] No hypotheses on $\mathcal{Q}$ are really need here - in fact the c_q could be any locally constant unitary valued map.

As in [20] we need to note that this Theorem extends reasonably to $\mathcal{L}_f$ acting on $C^1(I)$ given $f \in C^1(I)$. In particular $\mathcal{L}_f$ acting on $C^1(I)$ has the same spectral properties relative to a positive eigenfunction $h_f \in C^1(I)$ such that $\mathcal{L}_f h_f = e^{P(f)} h_f$. We also view ν_f as a measure on $C^1(I)$ with support in K.

Let h_a be the normalized positive eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}_{-a\tau}$ corresponding to the maximal eigenvalue $\exp(P(-a\tau))$. We set

$$\tau_a = -a\tau - P(-a\tau) - \log(h_a \circ T) + \log(h_a). \tag{40}$$

We now renormalize our transfer operators by defining

$$L_{s,q} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\tau_a - ib\tau, q}. \tag{41}$$

This is the same as

$$L_{s,q} = \exp(-P(-a\tau)) M_{h_a}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{s,q} M_{h_a} \tag{42}$$

where M_{h_a} is multiplication by h_a. It now follows by arguments as in Naud [20, pg. 132] that it is enough to prove Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 1.1 with $L_{s,q}$ in place of $\mathcal{L}_{s,q}$. We also note here that the maximal eigenfunction of L_a is the constant function, with eigenvalue 1, that is $L_a 1 = 1$ for $a \in \mathbf{R}$.

The rest of the passage to the estimates in the next section is routine but we give some of the details for completeness. One shows that in order to prove Proposition 2.2 it is enough to prove

Lemma 2.4. *With the same conditions as Theorem 1.1, there are $N > 0$ and $\rho \in (0,1)$ such that when $|a - s_0|$ is sufficiently small and $|b|$ is sufficiently large we have*

$$\int_K |L_{s,q}^{nN} W|^2 d\nu_0 \leq \rho^n, \tag{43}$$

where $W \in C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q})$, $d\nu_0 = h_{-\delta\tau} \nu_{-\delta\tau}$ is the Gibbs measure on K, and $\|W\|_{(b)} \leq 1$, which stands for the warped Sobolev norm

$$\|W\|_{(b)} = \|W\|_\infty + |b|^{-1} \|W'\|_\infty. \tag{44}$$

These estimates are uniform in q.

This corresponds to [21, Theorem 3.1] in the work of Oh and Winter and is the uniform version of [20, Proposition 5.3].

Lemma 2.4 implies Proposition 2.2 by the use of a priori estimates for the transfer operators that allow one to convert an L^2 estimate into a C^1 bound. These estimates are given in [20, Lemma 5.2] for complex valued functions. They are however easily proved for vector valued functions giving

Lemma 2.5. *There are $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, a_0, b_0 > 0$ and $R < 1$ such that for $|a - s_0| < a_0$ and $|b| > b_0$ we have for all $f \in C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q})$*

$$\|[L_{s,q}^n f]'\|_\infty \leq \kappa_1 |b| \|L_a^n f\|_\infty + R^n \|L_a^n |f'|\|_\infty, \tag{45}$$

and

$$\|L_{s_0,q}^n f\|_\infty \leq \int_K |f| d\nu_0 + \kappa_2 R^n \|f\|_{L(K)}. \tag{46}$$

Lemma 2.5 together with Lemma 2.4 imply Proposition 2.2 by arguments appearing in [20, pp. 133-134]. Roughly speaking the ingredients are Cauchy-Schwarz to access Lemma 2.4, remarks regarding the behaviour of τ_a^m for a close to s_0 that appear elsewhere in this paper, and splitting up exponents in the form $m = nN + r$.

The proof of Lemma 2.4 proceeds through the construction of certain Dolgopyat operators that we give in the next section.

3. Construction of uniform Dolgopyat operators

We follow the notation of Naud [20]. For $A > 0$ we consider the cone

$$\mathcal{C}_A \equiv \{H \in C^1(I) : H > 0 \text{ and } |H'(x)| \leq AH(x) \text{ for all } x \in I\}. \tag{47}$$

In this section we establish a uniform version of the key Lemma of Naud [20, Lemma 5.4]. This is also analogous to [21, Theorem 3.3].

Lemma 3.1 (Construction of uniform Dolgopyat operators)**.** *Suppose τ has the (NLI) property. There exists $N > 0$, $A > 1$ and $\rho \in (0,1)$ such that for all $s = a + ib$ with $|a - s_0|$ small and $|b| > b_0$ large, there exists a finite set of operators $(\mathcal{N}_s^J)_{J \in \mathcal{E}_s}$ that are bounded on $C^1(I)$ and satisfy the following three conditions*

1. *The cone $\mathcal{C}_{A|b|}$ is stable by $\mathcal{N}_s^J$ for all $J \in \mathcal{E}_s$.*
2. *For all $H \in \mathcal{C}_{A|b|}$ and all $J \in \mathcal{E}_s$,*

$$\int_K |\mathcal{N}_s^J H|^2 d\nu_0 \leq \rho \int_K |H|^2 d\nu_0. \tag{48}$$

3. *Given $H \in \mathcal{C}_{A|b|}$ and $f \in C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q})$ such that $|f| \leq H$ and $|f'| \leq A|b|H$, there is $J \in \mathcal{E}_s$ with*

$$|L_{s,q}^N f| \leq \mathcal{N}_s^J H, \quad \text{and } |(L_{s,q}^N f)'| \leq A|b|\mathcal{N}_s^J H. \tag{49}$$

When we write $|f|$ for $f \in C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q})$ we refer to the function obtained by taking pointwise Euclidean (l^2) norms. We now show that the existence of these operators implies Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 3.1 implies Lemma 2.4. Given this construction (Lemma 3.1), Lemma 2.4 is proved following the argument of [21, pg. 21] or one in [20, pg. 135]. Indeed given non zero $f \in C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q})$ with $\|f\|_{(b)} \leq 1$ (cf. Lemma 2.4 for the definition of $\|\|_{(b)}$), we define

$$H = \|f\|_{(b)} 1. \tag{50}$$

One sees that H and f are as in Lemma 3.1, that is, $H \in \mathcal{C}_{A|b|}$, $|f| \leq H$, and $|f'| \leq A|b|H$ as $A > 1$. One gets then by part 3 of Lemma 3.1 that

$$|L_{s,q}^N f| \leq \mathcal{N}_s^J H, \quad |(L_{s,q}^N f)'| \leq A|b|\mathcal{N}_s^J H \tag{51}$$

for some $J \in \mathcal{E}_s$. Since $\mathcal{C}_{A|b|}$ is stable under the N_s^J one can repeat this to get for some sequence $J_1, \ldots, J_n \in \mathcal{E}_s$ that

$$\int_K |L_s^{nN} f|^2 d\nu_0 \leq \int_K |\mathcal{N}_s^{J_N} \ldots \mathcal{N}_s^{J_1} H|^2 d\nu_0 \leq \rho^n \int_K |H|^2 d\nu_0 \leq \rho^n \tag{52}$$

by using part 2 of Lemma 3.1. □

The first two properties of Lemma 3.1 were proved by Naud in [20]; we follow closely Naud's construction of the operators in the following.

3.1. **Consequences of non local integrability (NLI).** Naud notes the following consequence of (NLI) that we will use later.

Lemma 3.2 (Proposition 5.5 of [20]). *If τ has property (NLI), there are $m, m', N_0 > 0$ such that for all $N > N_0$, there are two branches α_1^N, α_2^N of T^{-N} with*

$$m' \geq \left| \frac{d}{du}[\tau^N \circ \alpha_1^N - \tau^N \circ \alpha_2^N](u) \right| \geq m > 0, \quad \forall u \in I. \tag{53}$$

We remark here that the lower bound is the harder one. The upper bound follows from the expanding property of T and regularity of τ.

Now suppose we deal with τ with property (NLI). Let ξ, η, u_0, v_0 and j_0 be as in Definition 2.1. *Throughout the rest of this paper, the assignments $N \to \alpha_1^N$ and $N \to \alpha_2^N$ are fixed as those given by Lemma 3.2.* We do however need to know some of the details about how the α_i^N have been constructed, which we give now.

As in the proof of [20, Proposition 5.5] there are $\epsilon > 0$ and an open interval $\mathcal{U}$ with

$$I_{j_0} \supset \mathcal{U} \ni u_0 \tag{54}$$

such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi_{\xi,\eta}}{\partial u}(u', v_0) \right| > \epsilon \tag{55}$$

for all $u' \in \mathcal{U}$. We define for any n

$$\beta_1^n = T^{-1}_{\xi_{-n+1}} \circ \ldots \circ T^{-1}_{\xi_0}, \tag{56}$$

$$\beta_2^n = T^{-1}_{\eta_{-n+1}} \circ \ldots \circ T^{-1}_{\eta_0}, \tag{57}$$

two branches of T^{-n} on I_{j_0}. In the proof of [20, Proposition 5.5], Naud also constructs

$$\psi : I \to \mathcal{U} \tag{58}$$

which is a branch of $T^{-\hat{p}}$ for some $\hat{p}$ a fixed positive integer related to the mixing and expanding properties of T. The image of ψ is a disjoint union of k closed intervals each of which is diffeomorphic to some I_j by ψ. We denote by U_0 the image of ψ. We will use the parameterization

$$N = \tilde{N} + \hat{p}, \tag{59}$$

Then the α_i^N are defined by

$$\alpha_i^N = \beta_i^{\tilde{N}} \circ \psi. \tag{60}$$

As $\tilde{p}$ is fixed, $\tilde{N}$ and N are coupled. They are to be chosen, depending on b and other demands in the following.

3.2. **Construction of Dolgopyat operators.** The following is proved by Naud [20, Proposition 5.6].

Proposition 3.3 (Triadic partition). *There are $A_1, A_1' > 0$ and $A_2 > 0$ such that when $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough, there is a finite collection $(V_i)_{1 \leq i \leq Q}$ of closed intervals ordered along U_0 such that:*

(1) *$\mathcal{U} \supset \cup_{i=1}^{Q} V_i \supset U_0$, $V_i \cap \mathrm{Int} U_0 \neq \emptyset$ for all i and $\mathrm{Int} V_i \cap \mathrm{Int} V_j = \emptyset$ when $i \neq j$.*

(2) *For all* $1 \leq i \leq Q$, $\epsilon A_1' \leq |V_i| \leq \epsilon A_1$.
(3) *For all* $1 \leq j \leq Q$ *with* $V_j \cap K \neq \emptyset$, *either* $V_{j-1} \cap K \neq \emptyset$ *and* $V_{j+1} \cap K \neq \emptyset$ *or* $V_{j-2} \cap K \neq \emptyset$ *and* $V_{j-1} \cap K \neq \emptyset$ *or* $V_{j+1} \cap K \neq \emptyset$ *and* $V_{j+2} \cap K \neq \emptyset$. *In other words, intervals that intersect* K *come at least in triads.*
(4) *For all* $1 \leq i \leq Q$ *with* $V_i \cap K \neq \emptyset$, $V_i \cap K \subset U_0$ *and* $\operatorname{dist}(\partial V_i, K) \geq A_2|V_i|$.

Now following Naud we can construct the Dolgopyat operators. Suppose that we are working at frequency $s = a + ib$. Then for fixed ϵ' to be chosen, we construct a triadic partition $(V_i)_{i=1}^Q$ of U_0 with $\epsilon = \epsilon'/|b|$ as in Proposition 3.3. Then for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$ we set

$$Z_j^i = \beta_i^{\tilde{N}}(V_j \cap U_0). \tag{61}$$

We will write

$$X_j = \{x \in I : \psi(x) \in V_j\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq Q. \tag{62}$$

Properties 4 and 2 of Proposition 3.3 imply that

$$\operatorname{dist}(K \cap V_j, \partial V_j) \geq A_2|V_j| \geq \frac{A_2 A_1' \epsilon'}{|b|}. \tag{63}$$

whenever $K \cap V_j \neq 0$. For such j we can find a C^1 cutoff χ_j on I that is $\equiv 1$ on the convex hull of $K \cap V_j$ and $\equiv 0$ outside V_j. Due to (63) we can ensure that

$$|\chi_j'| \leq A_3 \frac{|b|}{\epsilon'}, \quad A_3 = A_3(A_2, A_1'). \tag{64}$$

Then the index set $\mathcal{I}_s$ is defined to be

$$\mathcal{I}_s \equiv \{(i, j) \, : \, 1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq Q, V_j \cap K \neq \emptyset\}. \tag{65}$$

Allow $0 < \theta < 1$ to be fixed shortly. For all $J \subset \mathcal{I}_s$ we define $\chi_J \in C^1(I)$ by

$$\chi_J(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \theta\chi_j(\psi(T^N x)), & \text{if } x \in Z_i^j \text{ for } (i,j) \in J. \\ 1, & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{66}$$

Then the Dolgopyat operators on $C^1(I)$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_s^J(f) = L_a^N(\chi_J f). \tag{67}$$

Recall that L_a is the transfer operator at $s = a$.

Let us return to our Lemma 3.1 so that we can complete our definitions.

Definition 3.4. *We say that* $J \subset I_s$ *is dense if for all* $1 \leq j \leq Q$ *with* $V_j \cap K \neq \emptyset$ *there is some* $1 \leq j' \leq Q$ *with* $(i, j') \in J$ *for some* $i \in \{1, 2\}$ *and with* $|j - j'| \leq 2$.

We define $\mathcal{E}_s$ of Lemma 3.1 to be the set of $J \subset \mathcal{I}_s$ such that J is dense.

The following is proved in [20] - we have tried to contain everything that we use as a black box here.

Proposition 3.5 (Naud)**.** *When* N *is large enough and* θ, ϵ' *are small enough, there are* A *and* ρ *as in Lemma 3.1 such that properties 1 and 2 hold for our* $(N, |b|, \theta, \epsilon')$ *parameterized and* $\mathcal{E}_s$*-indexed Dolgopyat operators whenever* $|b|$ *is large enough and* $|a - s_0|$ *is small enough. For the same range of parameters we can also ensure*

$$|([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N] \circ \alpha^N)'(x)| \leq \frac{A|b|}{4} \tag{68}$$

for any inverse branch α^N *of* T^N.

The proof of the inequality above is on [20, pg. 137].

This fully completes the definition of the Dolgopyat operators modulo choice of ϵ', θ and N - the A and ρ required for Lemma 3.1 are that specified by Lemma 3.5 given these parameters.

3.3. **Proof of Lemma 3.1, property 3.** Our remaining task in this section is to prove property 3 of Lemma 3.1. This is proved for complex valued functions by Naud in [20, pp. 140-144]. Naud makes some use of taking quotients of values of functions that we will have to work around.

We give the details now. Recall that ϵ', θ are still undetermined. The following technical lemma is the vector valued version of [20, Lemma 5.10]. Recall that $c_q : I \to U(\mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q})$ is our twisting unitary valued map at level q. The function defined on $T^{-N}(I)$ by

$$c_q^N(x) \equiv c_q(T^{N-1}x)c_q(T^{N-2}x)\dots c_q(Tx)c_q(x) \tag{69}$$

arises in our analysis. In particular we will need to consider $c_q^N(\alpha_i^N x)$, where α_i^N, $i = 1, 2$ are the two particular branches of T^{-N} that are given by Lemma 3.2. We record the key fact here that since c_q is locally constant, so too is c_q^N for any N.

Lemma 3.6 (Key technical fact towards non-stationary phase)**.** *Let $H \in \mathcal{C}_{A|b|}$, $f \in C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q})$ such that $|f| \leq H$ and $|f'| \leq A|b|H$. For $i = 1, 2$, define for θ a small real parameter and for any q,*

(70)

$$\Theta_1(x) \equiv \frac{|e^{[\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha_1^N x)} c_q^N(\alpha_1^N x) f(\alpha_1^N x) + e^{[\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha_2^N x)} c_q^N(\alpha_2^N x) f(\alpha_2^N x)|}{(1-2\theta)e^{\tau_a^N(\alpha_1^N x)} H(\alpha_1^N x) + e^{\tau_a^N(\alpha_2^N x)} H(\alpha_2^N x)};$$

(71)

$$\Theta_2(x) \equiv \frac{|e^{[\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha_1^N x)} c_q^N(\alpha_1^N x) f(\alpha_1^N x) + e^{[\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha_2^N x)} c_q^N(\alpha_2^N x) f(\alpha_2^N x)|}{e^{\tau_a^N(\alpha_1^N x)} H(\alpha_1^N x) + (1-2\theta)e^{\tau_a^N(\alpha_2^N x)} H(\alpha_2^N x)}.$$

Then for N large enough, one can choose θ and ϵ' small enough such that for j with $X_j \cap K \neq \emptyset$, there are j' with $|j - j'| \leq 2$, $X_{j'} \cap K \neq \emptyset$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that

$$\Theta_i(x) \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in X_{j'}. \tag{72}$$

Before giving the proof we must state a simple Lemma from [20]. The proof goes through easily in our vector valued setting. This is also covered in [21, Lemma 3.29].

Lemma 3.7 (Lemma 5.11 of [20])**.** *Let $Z \subset I$ be an interval with $|Z| \leq c/|b|$. Let $H \in \mathcal{C}_{A|b|}$ and $f \in C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q})$ with $|f| \leq H$ and $|f'| \leq A|b|H$. Then for c small enough, we have either*

$$|f(u)| \leq \frac{3}{4}H(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in Z, \text{ or} \tag{73}$$

$$|f(u)| \geq \frac{1}{4}H(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in Z. \tag{74}$$

We also need the following piece of trigonometry from [20, Lemma 5.12].

Lemma 3.8 (Sharp triangle inequality)**.** *Let V be a finite dimensional complex vector space with Hermitian inner product $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$. For non zero vectors z_1, z_2 with $|z_1|/|z_2| \leq L$ and*

$$\Re\langle z_1, z_2\rangle \leq (1-\eta)|z_1||z_2|, \tag{75}$$

there is $\delta = \delta(L, \eta)$ such that

$$|z_1 + z_2| \leq (1-\delta)|z_1| + |z_2|. \tag{76}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.6. Choose ϵ' small enough so that Lemma 3.7 holds for all $Z = Z_j^i$ (with $c = \epsilon'$). As in [20] by choosing N large enough it is possible to assume $|Z_j^i| \leq |V_j|$ for all j, i. We also enforce $\theta < 1/8$ so that $1 - 2\theta \geq 3/4$.

Now let V_j, V_{j+1}, V_{j+2} all have non empty intersection with K. One of the $j, j+1, j+2$ will be the j' of the Lemma. Set $\widehat{X}_j = X_j \cup X_{j+1} \cup X_{j+2}$ and assume as in Naud that $\widehat{X}_j$ is contained in one connected component of I; note that $\widehat{X}_j$ is connected.

Following from our choice of θ, if there is $j' \in \{j, j+1, j+2\}$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$ with $|f(u)| \leq \frac{3}{4}H(u)$ when $u \in Z_{j'}^i$ then $\Theta_i(u) \leq 1$ on $Z_{j'}^i$ and we are done. So we can assume $|f(u)| > \frac{3}{4}H(u)$ for some u in each $Z_{j'}^i$. Hence by Lemma 3.7, for all i, j' we have

$$|f(u)| \geq \frac{1}{4}H(u) > 0, \quad \forall u \in Z_{j'}^i. \tag{77}$$

We make the definition

$$z_i(x) \equiv \exp\left([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha_i^N x)\right) c_q^N(\alpha_i^N x) f(\alpha_i^N x), \quad z_i : \widehat{X}_j \to \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q}, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{78}$$

The result follows from Lemma 3.8 after establishing bounds on the relative size and angle of z_1, z_2 uniformly in appropriate $X_{j'}$.

Control of relative size. Firstly we wish to control the relative size of z_1, z_2. This is done by Naud and his estimates go through directly in our case, after making all substitutions of the form

$$\left|\frac{z_1(x)}{z_2(x)}\right| \to \frac{|z_1(x)|}{|z_2(x)|} \tag{79}$$

and bearing in mind that c_q^N is a unitary valued function. This caters to our inability to divide non zero vectors. The output of Naud's argument in [20, pp. 141-142] is that given $j' \in \{j, j+1, j+2\}$, either $|z_1(x)| \leq M|z_2(x)|$ for all $x \in X_{j'}$ or $|z_2(x)| \leq M|z_1(x)|$ for all $x \in X_{j'}$, where

$$M = 4\exp(2NB_a)\exp(2A\epsilon' A_1) \tag{80}$$

and

$$B_a = a\|\tau\|_\infty + |P(-a\tau)| + 2\|\log h_a\|_\infty \tag{81}$$

is a locally bounded function that arises in the estimation of τ_a^N (cf. [20, pg. 139]). Returning to the overall argument, this means that we are done when we can establish (75) with some η uniformly on some $X_{j'}$.

Control of relative angle. The key argument here is to very carefully control the angles between the functions z_1 and z_2. One sets

$$\Phi(x) \equiv \frac{\langle z_1(x), z_2(x)\rangle}{|z_1(x)||z_2(x)|} \tag{82}$$

which is the same as

$$\Phi(x) = \exp(ib(\tau^N(\alpha_1^N x) - \tau^N(\alpha_2^N x)))\frac{\langle c_q^N(\alpha_1^N x)f(\alpha_1^N x), c_q^N(\alpha_2^N x)f(\alpha_2^N x)\rangle}{|f(\alpha_1^N x)||f(\alpha_2^N x)|}. \tag{83}$$

Define

$$u_i(x) = c_q^N(\alpha_i^N x)\frac{f(\alpha_i^N x)}{|f(\alpha_i^N x)|}, \quad x \in \widehat{X}_j, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{84}$$

Then the u_i are C^1 as f is non vanishing through (77). We have

$$(c_q^N . f) \circ \alpha_i^N = |f \circ \alpha_i^N|.u_i, \tag{85}$$

so that, differentiating on both sides and using $(c_q^N)' \equiv 0$,

$$(c_q^N \circ \alpha_i^N).(f \circ \alpha_i^N)' = |f \circ \alpha_i^N|' u_i + |f \circ \alpha_i^N| u_i'. \tag{86}$$

As u_i has constant length 1 it follows that u_i and u_i' are orthogonal (in $\mathbf{R}^{2|\Gamma_p|}$). Therefore

$$|[f \circ \alpha_i^N]'|^2 = (|f \circ \alpha_i^N|')^2 + |f \circ \alpha_i^N|^2 |u_i'|^2. \tag{87}$$

It now follows that

$$|u_i'(x)| \leq \frac{|[f \circ \alpha_i^N]'(x)|}{|f(\alpha_i^N x)|}. \tag{88}$$

We estimate the right hand side by a direct calculation using the chain rule with the expanding property of T and our assumptions on H from (77) and the hypotheses of Lemma 3.6. Indeed, Naud performs a similar calculation [20, pg. 142] which yields

$$|u_i'(x)| \leq 8A|b|\frac{D}{\gamma^N}. \tag{89}$$

Note that we can rewrite the central quantity Φ as

$$\Phi(x) = \exp(ib(\tau^N(\alpha_1^N x) - \tau^N(\alpha_2^N x))\langle u_1(x), u_2(x)\rangle. \tag{90}$$

We can use (89) and Cauchy-Schwarz to get

$$\left|\frac{d}{dx}\langle u_1, u_2\rangle\right| = \left|\langle u_1', u_2\rangle + \langle u_1, u_2'\rangle\right| \leq 16A|b|\frac{D}{\gamma^N}. \tag{91}$$

Note that we have the diameter bound

$$\operatorname{diam}(\widehat{X}_j) \leq 3A_1\frac{\epsilon'}{|b|}\|(\psi^{-1})'\|_\infty \tag{92}$$

so that using (91) we have

$$|\langle u_1(x_1), u_2(x_1)\rangle - \langle u_1(x_2), u_2(x_2)\rangle| \leq 3 \cdot 16 \cdot AA_1\|(\psi^{-1})'\|_\infty \epsilon' \frac{D}{\gamma^N} \tag{93}$$

for any $x_1, x_2 \in \widehat{X}_j$; note here that the cocycles $c_q^N(\alpha_i^N x)$ are constant on $\hat{X}_j$. **We now enforce** $\epsilon' < 1/10$ and N large enough so that

$$48 \cdot AA_1 \|(\psi^{-1})'\|_\infty \frac{D}{\gamma^N} < 1. \tag{94}$$

Let us cut off one branch of reasoning. Suppose that there is $x_0 \in \widehat{X}_j$ with

$$|\langle u_1(x_0), u_2(x_0)\rangle| < 1/10. \tag{95}$$

Then for all $x \in \widehat{X}_j$ we have

$$|\langle u_1(x), u_2(x)\rangle| < 1/5. \tag{96}$$

It would follow that $|\Re\Phi(x)| < 1/5$ for all $x \in \widehat{X}_j$ and the Lemma would be proved by our argument with trigonometry.

Therefore we can now assume

$$|\langle u_1(x), u_2(x)\rangle| \geq 1/10 \tag{97}$$

for all $x \in \widehat{X}_j$. Then the new function

$$U(x) = \frac{\langle u_1(x), u_2(x)\rangle}{|\langle u_1(x), u_2(x)\rangle|} \in \mathbf{C} \tag{98}$$

is C^1 on $\widehat{X}_j$ of constant length 1 and by an argument we have made before

$$|U'(x)| \leq \frac{|\langle u_1, u_2\rangle'(x)|}{|\langle u_1(x), u_2(x)\rangle|} \leq 10 \cdot 16 \cdot A|b|\frac{D}{\gamma^N}, \tag{99}$$

using (91). We can write

$$U(x) = \exp(i\phi(x)) \tag{100}$$

for some C^1 real valued $\phi : \widehat{X}_j \to \mathbf{R}$. Then (99) reads

$$|\phi'(x)| \leq 160A|b|\frac{D}{\gamma^N}. \tag{101}$$

As we assume $\Phi \neq 0$ on $\widehat{X}_j$, we can find a C^1 function that we will denote

$$\arg\Phi : \widehat{X}_j \to S^1 = \mathbf{R}/2\pi\mathbf{Z}, \quad \Phi(x) = \exp(i \arg\Phi(x)) \cdot |\Phi(x)|. \tag{102}$$

Now define

$$F(x) = (\tau^N(\alpha_1^N x) - \tau^N(\alpha_2^N x)), \quad x \in \widehat{X}_j. \tag{103}$$

The critical output of the (NLI) property for τ, Lemma 3.2, tells us that

$$0 < m \leq |F'(x)| \leq m' \tag{104}$$

when we choose $N > N_0$, **which we do**. As

$$\arg\Phi = bF + \phi \tag{105}$$

we now have, incorporating (104) and (101)

$$|b|(m - 10 \cdot 16A\frac{D}{\gamma^N}) \leq |(\arg\Phi)'| \leq |b|(m' + 10 \cdot 16A\frac{D}{\gamma^N}). \tag{106}$$

We **fix, finally,** N large enough so that we gain $C_2 > C_1 > 0$ (depending only on N, m, m', A, D, and γ) with

$$|b|C_1 \leq |(\arg \Phi)'| \leq |b|C_2. \tag{107}$$

Now by estimating diameters of X_{j+1} and $\widehat{X}_j$ from Proposition 3.3 together with the mean value theorem, the total cumulative change of argument of Φ between $x_j \in X_j$ and $x_{j+2} \in X_{j+2}$, written Δ, is between

$$C_3\epsilon' \leq \Delta \leq C_4\epsilon' \tag{108}$$

where

$$C_3 = C_1A_1' \inf_{U_0} |(\psi^{-1})'| > 0, \quad C_4 = C_2 3A_1 \|(\psi^{-1})'\|_\infty. \tag{109}$$

We now enforce $\epsilon' < \pi/(2C_4)$ so that we no longer need to worry about $\arg \Phi$ winding around the circle. We are about to conclude. Now ϵ' **is fixed**. By our trigonometric strategy, we are done with

$$\theta = \delta\left(M, \left(\frac{C_3\epsilon'}{100}\right)^2\right) \tag{110}$$

unless there exist $x_j \in X_j$ and $x_{j+2} \in X_{j+2}$ with

$$\Re\Phi(x_k) > 1 - \left(\frac{C_3\epsilon'}{100}\right)^2, \quad k = j, j+2. \tag{111}$$

In this case, by the Schwarz inequality we know

$$|\Phi(x_k)| \leq 1 \quad k = j, j+2 \tag{112}$$

so it follows that now using the principal branch for arg and e.g. $|\sin x| \leq 2|x|$

$$|\arg \Phi(x_k)| \leq C_3\epsilon'/50, \quad k = j, j+2. \tag{113}$$

Given that the argument of Φ moves at least by $C_3\epsilon'$ in one direction between x_j and x_{j+2} and does not move more than $\pi/2$ (hence does not wind), this is a contradiction.

□

We can now conclude this section with

Proof of Lemma 3.1, property 3. Choose N, θ and ϵ' so that Proposition 3.5 holds as well as Lemma 3.6. Increasing N if necessary we may also assume that $\frac{D}{\gamma^N} \leq \frac{1}{4}$.

Suppose we are given $H \in \mathcal{C}_{A|b|}$ and $f \in C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q})$ such that $|f| \leq H$ and $|f'| \leq A|b|H$. The second inequality stated in property 3 is softer so we prove this first. The complex scalar version of this inequality is proved in [20, pg. 138].

We calculate

$$[L_{s,q}^N f](x) = \sum_{\alpha^N} \exp([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x)) c_q^N(\alpha^N x) f(\alpha^N x). \tag{114}$$

where

$$c_q^N(y) = c_q(T^{N-1}y) \dots c_q(Ty).c_q(y) \tag{115}$$

and the sum is over branches of T^{-N}. Therefore

$$[L^N_{s,q}f]'(x) = \sum_{\alpha^N}([\tau^N_a + ib\tau^N]\circ\alpha^N)'(x)\exp([\tau^N_a + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x))c^N_q(\alpha^N x)f(\alpha^N x)$$
$$+\sum_{\alpha^N}\exp([\tau^N_a + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x))c^N_q(\alpha^N x)(f\circ\alpha^N)'(x),$$

c^N_q being locally constant. Using that c^N_q is unitary and bounding derivatives of α^N with the eventually expanding property and chain rule gives

$$|[L^N_{s,q}f]'(x)| \leq \sum_{\alpha^N}|([\tau^N_a + ib\tau^N]\circ\alpha^N)'(x)|\exp([\tau^N_a](\alpha^N x))H(\alpha^N x)$$
$$+\frac{D}{\gamma^N}\sum_{\alpha^N}\exp([\tau^N_a](\alpha^N x))A|b|H(\alpha^N x).$$

Using the inequality in Proposition 3.5 and our choice of N we get

$$|[L^N_{s,q}f]'(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}A|b|[L^N_a H](x) \leq A|b|[\mathcal{N}^J_s H](x) \tag{116}$$

given the very mild assumption $\theta < 1/2$.

Now we turn to the more difficult first inequality of Lemma 3.1, property 3. Given that we have established Lemma 3.6 in the vector valued setting, the proof follows by the same argument as in [20, pg. 143]. We give the details here for completeness.

Let J be the set of indices (i,j) where $\Theta_i(x) \leq 1$ when $x \in X_j$. The statement of Lemma 3.6 is precisely that this set of indices is dense (recall Definition 3.4) and hence $J \in \mathcal{E}_s$ as required. We will prove

$$|L^N_{s,q}f| \leq \mathcal{N}^J_s H = L_a(\chi_J H). \tag{117}$$

Fix x. Notice that if $x \notin \mathrm{Int}X_j$ for any j then for all branches α^N of T^{-N}, $\alpha^N x \notin Z^i_j$ and so $\chi_J(\alpha^N x) = 1$ for any J. More generally if $x \notin \mathrm{Int}X_j$ for any j appearing as a coordinate in J then $\chi_J(\alpha^N x) = 1$. Therefore

$$|[L^N_{s,q}f](x)| \leq \sum_{\alpha^N}\exp(\tau^N_a(\alpha^N x))H(\alpha^N x) = \mathcal{N}^J_s[H](x). \tag{118}$$

We are left to consider x, J such that $x \in \mathrm{Int}(X_j)$ and J contains (i,j) for some i.

Suppose that $(i,j) = (1,j)$ and $(2,j) \notin J$. Then for $\alpha^N \neq \alpha^N_1$ a branch of T^{-N}, $\chi_J(\alpha^N x) = 1$ (the only other possibility would have been $\alpha^N = \alpha^N_2$). Then using $\Theta_1(x) \leq 1$ gives

$$|L^N_{s,q}[f](x)| \leq \sum_{\alpha^N \neq \alpha^N_1, \alpha^N_2}\exp(\tau^N_a(\alpha^N(x))H(\alpha^N(x))$$
$$+(1-2\theta)\exp(\tau^N_a(\alpha^N_1(x))H(\alpha^N_1(x)) + \exp(\tau^N_a(\alpha^N_2(x))H(\alpha^N_2(x))$$
$$\leq \mathcal{N}^J_s[H](x). \tag{119}$$

The case $(i,j)=(2,j)$ and $(1,j)\notin J$ is treated the same way. Finally, if $(1,j)$ and $(2,j)$ are in J then $\Theta_1(x),\Theta_2(x)\leq 1$ from which one can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&|\exp([\tau_a^N+ib\tau^N](\alpha_1^N x))f(\alpha_1^N x)+\exp([\tau_a^N+ib\tau^N](\alpha_2^N x))f(\alpha_2^N x)|\\&\leq(1-\theta)\exp(\tau_a^N(\alpha_1^N(x))H(\alpha_1^N(x))+(1-\theta)\exp(\tau_a^N(\alpha_2^N(x))H(\alpha_2^N(x))\\&\leq\exp(\tau_a^N(\alpha_1^N(x))\chi_J(\alpha_1^N x)H(\alpha_1^N(x))+\exp(\tau_a^N(\alpha_2^N(x))\chi_J(\alpha_2^N x)H(\alpha_2^N(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Also noting that $\chi_J(\alpha^N x)=1$ when $\alpha^N\neq\alpha_i^N$, $i=1,2$, the previous inequality shows

$$|L_{s,q}^N[f](x)|\leq\mathcal{N}_s^J[H](x) \tag{120}$$

in our final remaining case. The proof is complete. □

4. Bounds for transfer operators: small imaginary part

In this section we aim to supplement Lemma 2.4 with uniform bounds for powers of transfer operators for small imaginary part of s. In this regime the bounds are due to a mixing property of the groups Γ_q that we explain now.

4.1. **Ingredients.** The critical ingredient is strong spectral radius estimates for complex valued measures on Γ_q. We define E_q to be the space of functions of Γ_q that are orthogonal to all functions lifted from $\Gamma_{q'}$ for $q'|q$.

Our strong spectral estimates are furnished by

Definition 4.1 (Property (MIX))**.** *Let Γ be a free semigroup spanned by generators $S\subset\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ and consider the associated measure*

$$\nu=\sum_{s\in S}\delta_s \tag{121}$$

on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$. We write ν_q for the projection of this measure modulo q and $\nu_q^{(R)}$ for the R fold convolution. We say that Γ has the mixing property (MIX) on the index set $\mathcal{Q}\subset\mathbf{N}$ if for any $c_1>0$, there exist positive c_2,c_3,c_4 and q_0 such that for any $q\in\mathcal{Q}$ with $q>q_0$, any complex valued measure μ on Γ_q satisfying

$$\|\mu\|_1<B \tag{122}$$

and

$$|\mu(x)|\leq Bq^{-c_1c_2}\nu_q^{(\lceil c_2\log q\rceil)}(x),\quad x\in\Gamma_q \tag{123}$$

also satisfies

$$\|\mu\star\varphi\|_2\leq c_4Bq^{-c_3}\|\varphi\|_2\quad\text{ for }\varphi\in E_q. \tag{124}$$

In the paper [5], Bourgain, Gamburd and Sarnak have established a flattening property which implies the analog of (MIX) for convex cocompact[7] sub*groups* of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ and square free $\mathcal{Q}$ avoiding some finite modulus. This implication is also given in [5]. In Section 5, we show how this implication can be made to work in our setting for square free index sets $\mathcal{Q}$.

We can now state the main Lemma of this Section.

[7]Hence free by [9].

Lemma 4.2. *Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ where the semigroup Γ has the property (MIX). For $b_0 > 0$ given, there are a_0, q_0, κ and δ such that when $|a - s_0| < a_0$, $|b| < b_0$ and $N = \lceil \kappa \log q \rceil$ with $q > q_0$ we have*

$$\|L_{s,q}^{nN} W\|_{C^1} \leq q^{-n\delta} \tag{125}$$

for all E_q-valued $W \in C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q})$ with $\|W\|_{C^1} = 1$.

The proof of this Lemma is given in the remainder of this Section.

4.2. **Relevance of mixing estimates.** We are now going to show how mixing estimates arise naturally in the consideration of $L_{s,q}^N$. We have calculated already that for $W \in C^1(I)$ with $\|W\|_{C^1} < \infty$ and taking on values only in the orthocomplement to constant functions

$$[L_{s,q}^N W](x) = \sum_{\alpha^N} \exp([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x)) c_q^N(\alpha^N x) W(\alpha^N x) \tag{126}$$

where the sum is over branches of T^{-N}. It will be convenient to make the parametrization

$$N = M + R, \quad M, R > 0. \tag{127}$$

For given α^N we can write uniquely

$$\alpha^N = \alpha^M \alpha^R \tag{128}$$

where α^M, α^R are branches of T^{-M} and T^{-R}. In this case we write $\alpha^N > \alpha^M$. For each $i \in \{1 \dots j\}$ we choose $x_j \in I_J \cap K$. We notice here that for any $x \in I$ with $\alpha^R(x) \in I_j$, if $\alpha^N > \alpha^M$ we have

$$d(\alpha^N x, \alpha^M x_j) = d(\alpha^M(\alpha^R x), \alpha^M x_j) \leq \frac{D}{\gamma^M} \mathrm{diam}(I) \tag{129}$$

by the expanding property of T. Then

$$\|W(\alpha^N x) - W(\alpha^M x_j)\| \leq \frac{D}{\gamma^M} \mathrm{diam}(I) \|W\|_{C^1}. \tag{130}$$

It follows then that

$$[L_{s,q}^N W](x) = \sum_{\alpha^N} \exp([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x)) c_q^N(\alpha^N x) W(\alpha^M x_j) \tag{131}$$

$$+ O\left(\|W\|_{C^1} \frac{D}{\gamma^M} \mathrm{diam}(I) \sum_{\alpha^N} \exp(\tau_a^N(\alpha^N x)) \right). \tag{132}$$

We will assume that $D\gamma^{-M}$ is small, say $< 1/(100\mathrm{diam}(I))$ and note that the sum in the error term is

$$\sum_{\alpha^N} \exp(\tau_a^N(\alpha^N x)) = L_a^N[1](x) = 1(x) = 1 \tag{133}$$

as the operator has been normalized. So

$$[L_{s,q}^N W](x) = \sum_{\alpha^N} \exp([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x)) c_q^N(\alpha^N x) W(\alpha^M x_j) + O(\|W\|_{C^1} \gamma^{-M}); \tag{134}$$

we are abusing notation slightly here, inasmuch as the choice x_j depends on M. This is an important estimate as it allows us access the expansion properties coming from c_q by decoupling M and N.

Recall that c_q was obtained by reducing $c_0 \mod q$ to obtain a mapping $c_q : I \to \Gamma_q$. This mapping was reinterpreted as $c_q : I \to U(\mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q})$ via the right regular representation of Γ_q.

For any specified α^M and $x \in I$ we construct the complex valued measure on Γ_q

$$\mu_{s,x,\alpha^M} = \sum_{\alpha^N > \alpha^M} \exp([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x))\delta_{c_q^R(\alpha^R x)} \tag{135}$$

where δ_g gives mass one to $g \in \Gamma_q$.

For any $f \in C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q})$, $x \in I$ and α^M we construct complex valued measure φ_{f,x,α^M} by

$$\varphi_{f,\alpha^M}(g) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma_q} f(\alpha^M x_j)|_g \, \delta_{c_q^M(\alpha^M x_j)g} \tag{136}$$

where c_q is thought of as Γ_q valued and $f(\alpha^M x_j)$ thought of as a $\mathbf{C}$-valued function on Γ_q. The key fact here is that

(137)

$$[\mu_{s,x,\alpha^M} \star \varphi_{f,\alpha^M}] = \sum_{g \in \Gamma_q} \sum_{\alpha^N > \alpha^M} \exp([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x)) f(\alpha^M x_j)|_g \delta_{c_q^R(\alpha^R x)} \star \delta_{c_q^M(\alpha^M x_j)g}$$

$$= \sum_{g \in \Gamma_q} \sum_{\alpha^N > \alpha^M} \exp([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x)) f(\alpha^M x_j)|_g \delta_{c_q^N(\alpha^N x)g}. \tag{138}$$

This means that

$$[\mu_{s,x,\alpha^M} \star \varphi_{f,\alpha^M}] = \sum_{\alpha^N > \alpha^M} \exp([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x)) c_q^N(\alpha^N x) f(\alpha^M x_j). \tag{139}$$

The reader should compare this with (134).

4.3. **Bounds for μ_{s,x,α^M}.** We need bounds for $\|\mu_{s,x,\alpha^M}\|_1$ and $|\mu_{s,x,\alpha^M}(y)|$ pointwise in order to use the mixing feature of the Γ_q. Let us bound the L^1 norm first. Firstly we write

$$|\mu_{s,x,\alpha^M}| \leq \sum_{\alpha^N > \alpha^M} \exp(\tau_a^N(\alpha^N x))\delta_{c_q^R(\alpha^R x)}. \tag{140}$$

Notice that

$$\tau_a^N(\alpha^N x) = \tau_a^M(\alpha^N x) + \tau_a^R(\alpha^R x). \tag{141}$$

Then

$$\|\mu_{s,x,\alpha^M}\|_1 \leq \sum_{\alpha^N > \alpha^M} \exp(\tau_a^M(\alpha^N x)) \exp(\tau_a^R(\alpha^R x)). \tag{142}$$

We now decouple: let $\alpha_0^N = \alpha_0^N(\alpha^M)$ be some choice of $\alpha_0^N > \alpha^M$. Then

$$\tau_a^M(\alpha^N x) - \tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x) = \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \tau_a(T^n \alpha^N x) - \tau_a(T^n \alpha_0^N x) \tag{143}$$

and noting that $T^n\alpha^N x$ and $T^n\alpha_0^N x$ are within

$$\frac{D}{\gamma^{M-n}}\mathrm{diam}(I) \tag{144}$$

of one another, we have

$$\tau_a^M(\alpha^N x) \leq \tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x) + D.\mathrm{diam}(I)\sup_{y\in I}|[\tau_a]'(y)|\sum_{n=0}^{M-1}\frac{1}{\gamma^{M-n}} \tag{145}$$

$$\leq \tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x) + \kappa_1(D,\gamma,I,\tau,a_0) \tag{146}$$

for $|a-s_0|<a_0$ (as τ_a is roughly constant in a close to s_0). Therefore

$$\|\mu_{s,x,\alpha^M}\|_1 \leq \exp(\kappa_1+\tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x))\sum_{\alpha^N>\alpha^M}\exp(\tau_a^R(\alpha^R x)) \tag{147}$$

$$\leq \exp(\kappa_1+\tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x))[L_a^R 1](x) = \exp(\kappa_1+\tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x)). \tag{148}$$

by the normalization of L_a. We record this bound in the following.

Lemma 4.3. *Given a_0 small enough, x and α^M, there is $\kappa_1=\kappa_1(a_0)$ such that*

$$\|\mu_{s,x,\alpha^M}\|_1 \leq \exp(\kappa_1+\tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x)), \tag{149}$$

for $|a-s_0|<a_0$. Here α_0^N is any branch of T^{-N} such that $\alpha_0^N>\alpha^M$.

Now we turn to the pointwise bound. We have immediately from (141) that

$$|\mu_{s,x,\alpha^M}(y)| \leq \sup_{\alpha^N>\alpha^M}\exp(\tau_a^M(\alpha^N x))\exp(\tau_a^R(\alpha^R x))\nu_q^{(R)}(y) \tag{150}$$

where $\nu_q^{(R)}$ is the measure associated to the random walk of length R mod q in the generators S.

Now repeating the arguments leading to Lemma 4.3 gives

$$|\mu_{s,x,\alpha^M}(y)| \leq \exp(\kappa_1+\tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x))\exp(\tau_a^R(\alpha^R x))\nu_q^{(R)}(y). \tag{151}$$

As in [20, pg. 133], for any $\eta>0$ there is $a_0>0$ such that when $|a-s_0|<a_0$

$$|\tau_a^R(x)-\tau_{s_0}^R(x)| \leq \eta R. \tag{152}$$

Therefore for any $\eta>0$, by forcing $|a-s_0|<a_0$ we can ensure

$$|\mu_{s,x,\alpha^M}(y)| \leq \exp(\kappa_1+\tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x))\exp(\eta R+\tau_{s_0}^R(\alpha^R x))\nu_q^{(R)}(y). \tag{153}$$

One calculates

$$|\tau_{s_0}^R(\alpha^R x))+s_0\tau^R(\alpha^R x)| = |\log h_{s_0}(x)-\log h_{s_0}(\alpha^R x)| \leq \kappa_3 \tag{154}$$

as h_{s_0}, the eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}_{-s_0\tau}$ with eigenvalue 1, is bounded above and below away from zero. By the eventually positive property of τ, there exist N_0 and $\kappa_4>0$ such that $\tau^{N_0}\geq\kappa_4$ on $T^{-N_0}(I)$. Together with the fact that τ is bounded this implies there are κ_5 and κ_6 such that

$$\tau^R(\alpha^R x) \geq \kappa_6 R \tag{155}$$

when $R>\kappa_5$. Putting our bounds together, by choosing η much less than κ_6 we have proved

Lemma 4.4. *There are $a_0, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_5$ and $\kappa_7 > 0$ such that when $|a - s_0| < a_0$ and $\kappa_5 < R$ we have*

$$|\mu_{s,x,\alpha^M}(y)| \leq \exp(\kappa_1 + \tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x)) \exp(-\kappa_7 R)\nu_q^{(R)}(y) \tag{156}$$

for $y \in \Gamma_q$. Here α_0^N can be any branch of T^{-N} such that $\alpha_0^N > \alpha^M$.

We can now appeal to Definition 4.1 (assuming the property (MIX) holds) to get by using (134), Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|[L_{s,q}^N W](x)\| &\leq \sum_{\alpha_M} \|[\mu_{s,x,\alpha^M} \star \varphi_{W,\alpha^M}]\|_{l^2(\Gamma_q)} + O(\|W\|_{C^1}\gamma^{-M}) \\ &\leq c_4 q^{-c_3} \exp(\kappa_1) \sum_{\alpha^M} \exp(\tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x))\|\varphi_{W,\alpha^M}\|_{l^2(\Gamma_q)} + O(\|W\|_{C^1}\gamma^{-M})\end{aligned}$$

for some c_2, c_3, c_4 provided by Definition 4.1 when setting $c_1 = \kappa_7$ by taking

$$R = \lceil c_2 \log q \rceil. \tag{157}$$

We have chosen for each α^M an α_0^N with $\alpha_0^N > \alpha_M$ and we are assuming the conditions in the Lemmas we have used are met. Since trivially

$$\|\varphi_{W,\alpha^M}\|_{l^2(\Gamma_q)} \leq \|W\|_\infty \tag{158}$$

we can continue to bound $\|[L_{s,q}^N W](x)\|$ up to $O(\|W\|_{C^1}\gamma^{-M})$ by

$$\begin{aligned}c_4 q^{-c_3} \exp(\kappa_1)\|W\|_\infty \sum_{\alpha^M} \exp(\tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x)) &\leq c_4 q^{-c_3} \exp(\kappa_1)\|W\|_\infty L_a^N[1](T^M \alpha_0^N x) \\ &= c_4 q^{-c_3} \exp(\kappa_1)\|W\|_\infty.\end{aligned}$$

We have now proved, by choosing $N > \kappa_{10} \log q$ so that there is room for the requisite R and big enough M the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *If the semigroup Γ has property (MIX) for $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, there are $a_0, q_0, \kappa_{10}, \delta > 0$ and $\gamma' > 1$ such that when $|a - s_0| < a_0$, we have*

$$\|L_{s,q}^N W\|_\infty \leq q^{-\delta}\|W\|_\infty + \gamma'^{-N}\|W\|_{C^1} \tag{159}$$

when $N > \kappa_{10} \log q$, $q > q_0$, $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $W \in E_q$ with $\|W\|_{C^1} < \infty$.

4.4. **Bounds for Lipschitz norms.** In order to iterate Lemma 4.5 we also need bounds for

$$\|L_{s,q}^N W\|_{C^1} \tag{160}$$

under the same conditions as in Lemma 4.5. This amounts to estimating

$$\sup_I |[L_{s,q}^N W]'| \tag{161}$$

and so we can proceed along similar lines as before. Indeed one calculates from (126) that

$$[L_{s,q}^N W]'(x) = \sum_{\alpha^N} ([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N] \circ \alpha^N)'(x) \exp([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x)) c_q^N(\alpha^N x) W(\alpha^N x) \tag{162}$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha^N} \exp([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x)) c_q^N(\alpha^N x)[W \circ \alpha^N]'(x) \tag{163}$$

using that c_q^N is locally constant. The second set of terms are bounded by

$$\frac{D}{\gamma^N}\sum_{\alpha^N}\exp(\tau_a^N(\alpha^N x))\|W\|_{C^1} \tag{164}$$

which can be bounded by

$$\frac{D}{\gamma^N}\|W\|_{C^1}L_a^N[1](x) = \frac{D}{\gamma^N}\|W\|_{C^1}. \tag{165}$$

So we have

$$[L_{s,q}^N W]'(x) = \Sigma + O(\frac{D}{\gamma^N}\|W\|_{C^1}) \tag{166}$$

where

$$\Sigma \equiv \sum_{\alpha^N}([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N]\circ\alpha^N)'(x)\exp([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x))c_q^N(\alpha^N x)W(\alpha^N x). \tag{167}$$

We can go through the same decoupling argument as before to get

$$\Sigma = \sum_{\alpha^N}([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N]\circ\alpha^N)'(x)\exp([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x))c_q^N(\alpha^N x)W(\alpha^M x_j) \tag{168}$$

$$+ O\left(\|W\|_{C^1}\frac{D}{\gamma^M}\mathrm{diam}(I)\sum_{\alpha^N}|([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N]\circ\alpha^N)'(x)|\exp(\tau_a^N(\alpha^N x))\right). \tag{169}$$

Note that since there are constants C and a_0 such that when $|a - s_0| < a_0$ we have

$$|[\tau_a^N\circ\alpha^N]'(x)| \le C \tag{170}$$

for $x \in I$ (see for example [20, pg. 138]), we have

$$|[[\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N]\circ\alpha^N]'(x)| \le C + |b|\sup_I|\tau'|\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\frac{D}{\gamma^N} \le \kappa_{11} \tag{171}$$

for some $\kappa_{11} = \kappa_{11}(a_0, b_0)$ when $|b| \le b_0$. Therefore we have the decoupled equation

$$\Sigma = \sum_{\alpha^N}([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N]\circ\alpha^N)'(x)\exp([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x))c_q^N(\alpha^N x)W(\alpha^M x) + O_{b_0}(\|W\|_{C^1}\gamma^{-M}) \tag{172}$$

valid when $|b| < b_0$ and $|a| < a_0$ for some fixed a_0. We denote the first of these two terms by Σ'. Now similarly to before we define complex valued measures

$$\mu'_{s,x,\alpha^M} = \sum_{\alpha^N > \alpha^M}([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N]\circ\alpha^N)'(x)\exp([\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N](\alpha^N x))\delta_{c_q^R(\alpha^R x)} \tag{173}$$

$$\varphi_{f,\alpha^M}(g) = \sum_{g\in\Gamma_q} f(\alpha^M x_j)|_g\,\delta_{c_q^M(\alpha^M x_j)g} \tag{174}$$

for $f \in C^1(I;\Gamma^q)$, α^M a branch of T^{-M}. Then the key observation is that

$$\|\Sigma'\| = \left\|\sum_{\alpha^M}\mu'_{s,x,\alpha^M}\star\varphi_{W,\alpha^M}\right\|_{l^2(\Gamma_q)}. \tag{175}$$

4.5. **Bounds for μ'_{s,x,α^M}.** We have

$$\|\mu'_{s,x,\alpha^M}\|_1 \leq \sup_I |[\tau_a^N + ib\tau^N] \circ \alpha^N)'(x)| \sum_{\alpha^N > \alpha^M} \exp(\tau_a^N(\alpha^N x)). \tag{176}$$

We bounded the sum before as $\exp(\kappa_1 + \tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x))$ where α_0^N is any branch of T^{-N} such that $\alpha_0^N > \alpha^M$ and $|a - s_0| < a_0$ for small enough a_0. The supremum in front of the sum is bounded by $\kappa_{11}(b_0)$ when $|b| < b_0$, by (171). Therefore

Lemma 4.6. *We can find $a_0 > 0$ such that for $b_0 > 0$ given, there is $\kappa_{12} = \kappa_{12}(a_0, b_0) > 0$ such that*

$$\|\mu'_{s,x,\alpha^M}\|_1 \leq \kappa_{12} \exp(\tau_a^M(a_0^N x)) \tag{177}$$

whenever $|b| < b_0$ and $|a - s_0| < a_0$, for each $x \in T^{-N}I$ and α^M. Here α_0^N can be any branch of T^{-N} such that $\alpha_0^N > \alpha_M$.

To get a bound for $|\mu'_{s,x,\alpha^M}(y)|$ pointwise we repeat the arguments leading up to Lemma 4.4 to get under the same conditions that

$$\exp(\tau_a^N(\alpha^N x)) \leq \exp(\kappa_1 + \tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x)) \exp(-\kappa_7 R). \tag{178}$$

Therefore by incorporating (171) we get

Lemma 4.7. *For any $b_0 > 0$, one can choose constants such that the following holds. There exist $a_0 > 0$, $\delta > 0$ so that if $|a - s_0| < a_0$, $|b| < b_0$ and $\kappa_5 < R$ (cf. Lemma 4.4) then for sufficiently large q*

$$|\mu'_{s,x,\alpha^M}(y)| \leq \kappa_{12} \exp(\tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x)) \exp(-\kappa_7 R) \nu_q^{(R)}(y), \quad y \in \Gamma_q \tag{179}$$

where $\kappa_{12} = \kappa_{12}(b_0)$ and α_0^N is any branch of T^{-N} with $\alpha_0^N > \alpha^M$.

We now take R as in the preamble to Lemma 4.5, $R = \lceil c_2 \log q \rceil$ where the constants are as before relative to $c_1 = \kappa_7$ in Definition 4.1. Recall the constants c_3, c_4, q_0 from before and assume the mixing property holds for our range of q. For each α^M choose an $\alpha_0^N > \alpha_M$. The arguments leading up to Lemma 4.5 apply in our current setting to allow us to estimate $\|\Sigma'\|$. Indeed, as we have $\|\varphi_{W,x,\alpha^M}\|_{l^2(\Gamma_q)} \leq \|W\|_\infty$ we can estimate $\|\Sigma'\|$ from (175), Lemma 4.6, Lemma 4.7 and Definition 4.1 to get for $W \in E_q$

$$\|\Sigma'\| \leq c_4 \kappa_{12} \|W\|_\infty q^{-c_3} \sum_{\alpha^M} \exp(\tau_a^M(\alpha_0^N x)) \tag{180}$$

$$\leq c_4 \kappa_{12} \|W\|_\infty q^{-c_3} L_a^N[1](T^M \alpha_0^M x) \leq C_4 \kappa_{12} \|W\|_\infty q^{-c_3} \tag{181}$$

whenever $|a - s_0| < a_0$, $|b| < b_0$ are the ranges specified by previous Lemmas and $N > \kappa_{14} \log q$. It now follows from (166) that with these conditions on N, q, a, b we have in light of Lemma 4.5

$$\|L_{s,q}^N W\|_{C^1} \leq \kappa_{15} q^{-\delta} \|W\|_\infty + \kappa_{16} \gamma^{-N} \|W\|_{C^1} + \gamma'^{-N} \|W\|_{C^1} \tag{182}$$

for some $\delta > 0$ when $W \in E_q$ and $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $q > q_0$. In other words

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose the (MIX) property holds for the Γ relative to $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then for any given b_0, there are $a_0, q_0, \kappa_{14}, \delta' > 0$ such that when $|a - s_0| < a_0$ and $|b| < b_0$ we have*

$$\|L_{s,q}^N W\|_{C^1} \leq q^{-\delta'} \|W\|_{C^1} \tag{183}$$

when $N > \kappa_{10} \log q$, $q > q_0$, $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $W \in C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q})$ with values in E_q.

Lemma 4.2 follows by iterating Lemma 4.8 and relabeling constants. This concludes our discussion of spectral estimates for small imaginary values.

4.6. **The new subspace structure and the proof of main Theorem 1.1.** We can now show how the main Theorem 1.1 follows from our estimates. Part 2 was established in Proposition 2.2 so it remains to show how part 1 follows from our results.

We note first the following consequence of Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose that the (MIX) property for Γ holds for $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. For all $b_0 \in \mathbf{R}$, there are $0 < \rho < 1$, a_0, q_0 and C such that when $|a - s_0| < a_0$, $|b| \leq b_0$ and $q_0 < q \in \mathcal{Q}$, we have for all $m > 0$*

$$\|L_{s,q}^m f\|_{C^1} \leq C q^C \rho^m \|f\|_{C^1} \quad \text{when } f \in E_q. \tag{184}$$

This is an easy exercise and the reader can get the details from the proof of [21, Theorem 4.3].

Recall the new subspace structure of Γ_q. For any $q'|q$ there is a projection $\Gamma_q \to \Gamma_{q'}$. The kernel of this projection will be denoted $\Gamma_q(q')$, the congruence subgroup of level q' in Γ_q. These have the property that if $q''|q'$ then $\Gamma_q(q') \leq \Gamma_q(q'')$. This groups give an orthogonal decomposition of the right regular representation

$$\mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q} = \bigoplus_{q'|q} E_{q'}^q \tag{185}$$

where $E_{q'}^q$ consists of functions invariant under $\Gamma_q(q')$ but not invariant under $\Gamma_q(q'')$ for any $q''|q'$, $q'' \neq q'$. Then the E_q from before matches E_q^q as defined here.

The decomposition (185) gives rise to a corresponding direct sum decomposition

$$C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q}) = C^1(I) \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \neq q'|q} C^1(I; E_{q'}^q). \tag{186}$$

It is clear that the subspaces $E_{q'}^q$ are invariant under the transfer operator $L_{s,q}$ and taking derivatives.

Also note that if $f \in E_{q'}^q$ then f descends to a well defined function F on $\Gamma_q/\Gamma_q(q') \cong \Gamma_{q'}$ which is not invariant under any congruence subgroup of $\Gamma_{q'}$, hence in $E_{q'}^{q'}$. Also, if G is a function in $E_{q'}^{q'}$ then G lifts through the previous isomorphism to a function g in $E_{q'}^q$ for any $q'|q$. This gives rise to an map of Banach spaces

$$\Phi_{q,q'} : C^1(I; E_{q'}^{q'}) \to C^1(I; E_{q'}^q) \tag{187}$$

for any $q'|q$ with the property that

$$\|\Phi_{q,q'}(f)\|_{C^1} = \sqrt{|\Gamma_q(q')|} \|f\|_{C^1}. \tag{188}$$

This map is equivariant under the transfer operators in the sense that

$$\Phi_{q,q'}[L_{s,q'} f] = L_{s,q} \Phi_{q,q'}[f] \tag{189}$$

for any $f \in E_{q'}^{q'}$. In other words, the action of $L_{s,q}$ on a summand in (185) is determined by the action of the corresponding transfer operator on $E_{q'}^{q'}$ for some

$q'|q$. We decompose $f \in C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q})$ as

$$f = f_1 + \sum_{1 \neq q'|q} f_{q'} \tag{190}$$

with $f_{q'} \in E^q_{q'}$. It is here that the finite bad modulus Q_0 of Theorem 1.1 enters. If we assume that q has no proper divisors $\leq q_0$ from Lemma 4.9, then for any m, with all norms C^1 norms,

$$\|L^m_{s,q} f - L^m_{s,q} f_1\| \leq \sum_{q_0 < q'|q} \|L^m_{s,q} f_{q'}\| \tag{191}$$

$$= \sum_{q_0 < q'|q} \sqrt{|\Gamma_q(q')|} \|L^m_{s,q'} \Phi^{-1}_{q,q'} f_{q'}\| \tag{192}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{q_0 < q'|q} \sqrt{|\Gamma_q(q')|} (q')^C \rho^m \|\Phi^{-1}_{q,q'} f_{q'}\| \tag{193}$$

$$\leq C q^C \rho^m \sum_{1 \neq q'|q} \|f_{q'}\|. \tag{194}$$

This bound can be changed to

$$\|L^m_{s,q} f - L^m_{s,q} f_1\| \leq C' q^{C'} \rho^m \|f\| \tag{195}$$

for some $C' = C'(\Gamma, \mathcal{Q}, b_0)$ by noting that individually

$$\|f_{q'}\| \leq \|f\| \tag{196}$$

and that any number q has $\ll_\epsilon q^\epsilon$ divisors for any $\epsilon > 0$. The analogous estimates hold for $\mathcal{L}_{s,q}$ (by perturbation theory and (42)). That is, by possibly adjusting constants slightly and decreasing a_0

$$\|L^m_{s,q} f - L^m_{s,q} f_1\| \leq C' q^{C'} \rho^m \|f\|. \tag{197}$$

In particular part 1 of Theorem 1.1 now follows from the case that $f_1 = 0$ so that $f \in C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q} \ominus 1)$.

5. The modular group

5.1. **Features of the group.** In this section we give results about random walks in the modular group $\tilde{G} = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$. Firstly we have [24]

$$G \equiv \tilde{G}/Z = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \star \mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z} \tag{198}$$

where $\star$ stands for free amalgamated product and Z is the center of $\tilde{G}$. Let a and b be generators for G of order 2 and 3 respectively according to (198). We let d denote the word distance between elements of G with respect to these generators and will write $B_R(g)$ for the associated balls. We will need the following fact about the free product of cyclic groups. The following can be deduced from [18, pg. 209, Theorem 4.5].

Lemma 5.1. *The centralizer of any element $g \in G$ is either a conjugate of the $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ or $\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}$ factor when g is in that conjugate of that factor, or an infinite cyclic group.*

As a consequence of the Kurosh subgroup theorem [18, Corollary 4.9.1] one also has

Lemma 5.2. *The only torsion elements of G are conjugates of elements of the finite factors.*

The following is a version of a Lemma of Bourgain and Gamburd [4, Lemma 3] for a free product.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $R \geq 2$. If $\mathcal{S} \subset B_{2R}(e)$ satisfies $|\mathcal{S}| \geq R^6$, then $|[\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}]| \geq R^3$.*

Proof. Suppose for contradiction's sake that $|[\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}]| < R^3$. Then we can find A such that

$$\#\{\{g_1,g_2\} \subset \mathcal{S} : [g_1,g_2] = A\} \geq |\mathcal{S}|^2 R^{-3}. \tag{199}$$

We then also find a non-identity element $B \in \mathcal{S}$ such the set

$$\mathcal{S}_1 \equiv \{g \in \mathcal{S} : [g,B] = A\} \tag{200}$$

satisfies

$$|\mathcal{S}_1| \geq |\mathcal{S}| R^{-3}. \tag{201}$$

Direct calculation gives that if $[g,B] = [h,B] = A$ then the elements B and $h^{-1}g$ commute. If B is a torsion element then by Lemma 5.1 this specifies $h^{-1}g$ up to at most three possibilities so that $\mathcal{S}_1$ has size at most 3, which contradicts (201).

Otherwise if B is not torsion we can find non torsion W generating the centralizer of B by Lemma 5.1. Then $\mathcal{S}_1 \subset \langle W \rangle \cap B_{2R}(e)$. It then follows from [18, Theorem 4.6] that W is conjugate by an element h' of word length $\leq R$ to a non torsion cyclically reduced word $W^{h'}$ (this means that $W^{h'}$ is a reduced word in a and b which begins and ends with different letters). Then

$$(\mathcal{S}_1^{h'})^{-1}\mathcal{S}_1^{h'} \subset \langle W^{h'} \rangle \cap B_{4R}(e) \tag{202}$$

where we perform setwise multiplication and inversion. As $W^{h'}$ is cyclically reduced and non torsion we have that $d(e,(W^{h'})^n) = |n| d(e,W^{h'}) \geq 2|n|$ and so

$$|\mathcal{S}_1| = |\mathcal{S}_1^{h'}| \leq |(\mathcal{S}_1^{h'})^{-1}\mathcal{S}_1^{h'}| \leq 4R+1. \tag{203}$$

This leads to a contradiction with (201).

□

Lemma 5.4. *Let $R > 2$. If $\mathcal{S} \subset B_{2R}(e)$ satisfies $[[\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}],[\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}]] = \{e\}$, then*

$$|\mathcal{S}| < R^6. \tag{204}$$

Proof. Suppose that $|\mathcal{S}| \geq R^6$ for a contradiction. We know by Lemma 5.3 that if we write $\mathcal{S}^{(1)} = [\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}]$ then $|\mathcal{S}^{(1)}| \geq R^3$. On the other hand the elements of $S^{(1)}$ commute so by Lemma 5.1 $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}$ is contained in a cyclic group. Since $|S^{(1)}| \geq R^3$ we know this group is not torsion and hence we can write each $s \in \mathcal{S}^{(1)}$ as a power of some fixed non torsion W. By the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 5.3 this gives $|S^{(1)}| \leq 2R+1$ which is a contradiction. □

5.2. **The random walk for almost square free q.** The following kind of argument is alluded to in [5, pg. 275] although we have to work harder to cover the case when the values $c_0(I)$ generate a free semigroup Γ, but not a free group. This is crucial for our desired application in Section 6, where for some alphabets the group generated by the values of the cocycle $c_0(I)$ is all of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$.

We prove the following useful Lemma:

Lemma 5.5 (Hitting small subgroups)**.** *Let $\kappa \geq 1$ and $D > 1$. Let q be a modulus such that no prime occurs in q with exponent $> \kappa$. Then for $q'|q$ with $q' \geq q^{1/D}$ and any subgroup H of $\Gamma_{q'}$ which projects to a proper subgroup of Γ_p for each $p|q'$, there is $c = c(\kappa, D)$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and suitable $C(\epsilon)$*

$$\nu_{q'}^{(\lceil c \log q \rceil)}(aH) \leq C(\epsilon) q^{\epsilon}. \tag{205}$$

Proof. One key fact we will use is [10, Theorem 3.3.4], which says any proper subgroup J of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(p)$, p prime, with $|J| > 60$ has trivial second commutator, or derived length 2 in other words.

Let q_1 be the product of the prime divisors p of q' where H projects to a group of size ≤ 120 in Γ_p. Let q_2 be the product of the remaining primes dividing q' (hence where the projection has trivial second commutator). Then $q_0 = q_1 q_2$ is the square free part of q'. Here π_q stands for the projection to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z})$.

We wish to bound the number of walks/words in $S = c_0(I)$ of length R which hit aH modulo q_2. It is sufficient to do this in $G = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$. Let a, b be generators of G of order 2 and 3 respectively as before. We write $B_R(e)$ for the ball in the word metric induced from a, b on G. Then $\pi(S) \subset B_L(e)$ for some L, where π is the projection $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$. The number of words of length R in S hitting aH mod q_2 is clearly bounded by $|B_{LR}(e) \cap \pi_{q_2}^{-1}(aH)|$ where we henceforth replace aH with its image in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z})$. If H projects to a proper subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$ for each $p|q'$ then $\pi_p(H)$ is proper in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$. We have also used that $\pi(S)$ generate a free semigroup so that the evaluation map from words to G is injective.

Note that

$$|B_{LR}(e) \cap \pi_{q_2}^{-1}(aH)| - 1 \leq |B_{2RL}(e) \cap \pi_{q_2}^{-1}(H)| \tag{206}$$

as for each $g \in B_{LR}(e) \cap \pi_{q_2}^{-1}(aH)$ we can form for any other $h \in B_{LR}(e) \cap \pi_{q_2}^{-1}(aH)$ the product $g^{-1}h \in \pi_{q_2}^{-1}(H) \cap B_{2LR}(e)$ and these are distinct for distinct h and fixed g.

So a bound for the size of

$$\mathcal{S} := B_{2RL}(e) \cap \pi_{q_2}^{-1}(H) \tag{207}$$

would be good enough. When $R < C' \log q_2$ for some $C' = C'(L)$, the only element of $B_{2LR}(e)$ which reduces to the identity mod q_2 is the identity. Since $\pi_{q_2}(\mathcal{S}) \subset H$ and the second commutator $H^{(2)} = \{e\}$, we have

$$\mathcal{S}^{(2)} = \{e\} \tag{208}$$

when $R < C' \log q_2$. By Lemma 5.4 this implies that

$$|\mathcal{S}| < (LR)^6 < (C' L \log q_2)^6 \tag{209}$$

This is the kind of estimate we require. However it is not sufficient if q_2 is very small compared to $q_1 q_2$, since we need R to grow and the requirement $R < C' \log q_2$ becomes too strict.

Now we produce an estimate for $|\mathcal{S}|$ which suffices when q_1 is not too small. By the same arguments as before it is sufficient to bound the size of

$$\mathcal{T} = B_{2RL}(e) \cap \pi_{q_1}^{-1}(H). \tag{210}$$

As before, when $R < C' \log q_1$, each individual element of H mod q_1 has at most one preimage under π_{q_1} in $B_{2LR}(e)$. Therefore given that for $p|q_1$ the projection of

H to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$ has size ≤ 60

$$|\mathcal{T}| \leq |H \bmod q_1| \leq 60^{\omega(q_1)} \leq (60)^{C_2 \log(q_1)/\log\log(q_1)} = q_1^{C_3/\log\log q_1}, \tag{211}$$

where $\omega(q_1)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of q_1.

Let us now note that when we choose

$$R = \left\lceil \frac{C'}{10D\kappa} \log q \right\rceil \leq \left\lceil \frac{C'}{10\kappa} \log q' \right\rceil \leq \left\lceil \frac{C'}{10}(\log q_1 + \log q_2) \right\rceil \tag{212}$$

at least one of our previous cases must be valid. If $q_1 \geq (q_0)^{1/2} \geq q'^{1/2\kappa}$ then

$$\nu_{q'}^{(R)}(aH) \leq q_1^{C_3/\log\log q_1} \leq q^{C_3/(\log\log q - \log 2\kappa D)}. \tag{213}$$

If $q_2 \geq (q_0)^{1/2} \geq q'^{1/2\kappa}$ then

$$\nu_{q'}^{(R)}(aH) \leq (C_4 \log q_2)^6 \leq C_5 (\log q)^6. \tag{214}$$

Either way the result follows. □

5.3. **The mixing property for square free q.** Recall our mixing property Definition 4.1. We suppose now that we are given the semigroup Γ which is freely generated by S, the index set $\mathcal{Q}$ and the constant c_1. We are to find c_2, c_3, c_4 and q_0 such that the conclusions of Definition 4.1 hold. Suppose then that we are given a complex valued measure μ on Γ_q with $\|\mu\|_1 < B$. Good spectral radius estimates for the operator $\mu\star$ will not be available if μ is for example supported on a small subgroup of Γ_q. With this is mind, for $q'|q$ and μ a complex valued measure on Γ_q let us define, following [5],

$$\|\pi_{q'}(\mu)\|_\infty \equiv \max_{g \in \Gamma_{q'}, H < \Gamma_{q'}} |\mu|(gH) \tag{215}$$

where the maximum is taken over subgroups $H < \Gamma_{q'}$ that project to proper subgroups of $\Gamma_{q''}$ for each $q''|q'$. In [5], Bourgain, Gamburd and Sarnak give the following result (ℓ^2-flattening Lemma).

Theorem 5.6. *Let q be square free. Given $\eta > 0$, there are $C > 0$ and $\eta' > 0$ such that the following hold. Suppose μ is a complex valued measure on Γ_q with $\|\mu\|_1 < B$ and*

$$\|\pi_{q'}(\mu)\|_\infty < q^{-\eta} B \tag{216}$$

for each $q'|q$ with $q' > q^{1/10}$. Then

$$\|\mu \star \phi\|_2 \leq C B q^{-\eta'} \|\phi\|_2 \tag{217}$$

for all $\phi \in E_q$, the new subspace of $l^2(\Gamma_q)$.

We wish to apply this to our measure μ. Let $\kappa = 1$ and $D = 10$ in Lemma 5.5 and let c_2 be equal to the $c(\kappa, D)$ which is provided. Now if

$$|\mu(x)| \leq B q^{-c_1 c_2} \nu_q^{(\lceil c_2 \log q \rceil)}(x) \tag{218}$$

we have for each $q'|q$ with $q' \geq q^{1/10}$ and H with proper projection to each subgroup of $\Gamma_{q'}$ that

$$|\mu_{q'}|(aH) \leq B q^{-c_1 c_2} \nu_{q'}^{(\lceil c_2 \log q \rceil)}(aH) \leq B q^{-c_1 c_2} C(\epsilon) q^\epsilon \tag{219}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $\epsilon = c_1 c_2/2$ to get

$$|\mu_{q'}|(aH) \leq Bq^{-c_1 c_2/3} \tag{220}$$

for all square free q bigger than a fixed number q_0. We can now set $\eta = c_1 c_2/3$ as valid in Theorem 5.6 and let c_3 be the provided η' and c_4 be the provided C. The c_2, c_3, c_4 and q_0 we have provided here from c_1 establish

Lemma 5.7. *Any free semigroup $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ has the property (MIX) with respect to the set of all square free numbers.*

6. Dynamics associated to continued fractions

6.1. **Continued fractions and Markov maps.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ with at least two elements and recall the semigroups $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ from the introduction, together with the generators g_a. We will use $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ to construct an $I_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbf{R}$ and $T_{\mathcal{A}} : I_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathbf{R}$ that satisfy the dynamical properties that are needed for our main Theorem 1.1. We drop the $\mathcal{A}$ dependence from now on in this section.

We consider the g_a acting as Möbius transformations in the upper half plane by

$$g_a(z) = \frac{1}{z+a}. \tag{221}$$

Let A denote the largest member of $\mathcal{A}$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$ let

$$I_a = \left[\frac{1}{a+1}, \frac{1}{a+(A+1)^{-1}}\right] \subset \left[\frac{1}{a+1}, \frac{1}{a}\right]. \tag{222}$$

The I_a are clearly disjoint as $A \geq 1$. Also note that

$$g_a\left(\left[\frac{1}{A+1}, 1\right]\right) = I_a. \tag{223}$$

We define

$$I_0 \equiv \bigcup_{a \in A} I_a \subset \left[\frac{1}{A+1}, 1\right]. \tag{224}$$

It follows that g_a maps $I_{a'}$ into I_a for all $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$. We define $T_0 : I_0 \to \mathbf{R}$ by

$$T_0|_{I_a} = g_a^{-1}. \tag{225}$$

Then T_0 clearly satisfies the Markov property and the corresponding symbolic dynamics is the full shift on the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$. By the Ping-Pong Lemma this construction of the I_a also shows that the g_a generate a free semigroup.

As we mentioned we wish to pass to the semigroup generated by products $g_a g_{a'}$. We set

$$I_{a,a'} = g_a I_{a'} \subset I_a \tag{226}$$

giving a disjoint collection of closed intervals. We set $I = \cup_{a,a' \in \mathcal{A}} I_{a,a'}$ and by noting $g_a g_{a'} I \subset I_{a,a'}$ the map

$$T : I \to \mathbf{R}, \quad T|_{I_{a,a'}} = (g_a g_{a'})^{-1} \tag{227}$$

has the Markov property with respect to the intervals $I_{a,a'}$. Set $K = \cap_{i=0}^{\infty} T^{-i}(I)$.

Note that the derivative of the matrix g_a is

$$g_a'(z) = \frac{1}{|z+a|^2} \leq (a + (1+A)^{-1})^{-2} \leq (1 + (1+A)^{-1})^{-2} \tag{228}$$

when $z \in [(1+A)^{-1}, \infty)$. It follows that by use of the chain rule that for $z \in I$, there are some a and $a' \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$|T'(z)| = |[(g_a g_a')^{-1}]'(z)| \geq (1+(1+A)^{-1})^4 > 1 \tag{229}$$

when $z \in I_{a,a'} = g_a g_{a'}([(1+A)^{-1}, 1])$. Let $\gamma := \gamma(\mathcal{A}) = (1+(1+A)^{-1})^4$. It now follows that for $z \in T^{-N+1}(I)$, we have

$$|[T^N]'(z)| \geq \gamma^N \tag{230}$$

so we have verified the expanding property for T. This gives a symbolic encoding of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ which is again the full shift, now on $|\mathcal{A}|^2$ letters.

We also note here that since $I_{a,a'} = g_a g_{a'}([(1+A)^{-1}, 1])$ it follows that $|T'(z)|$ is an analytic positive function on $I_{a,a'}$ which is bounded away from 0 and is the restriction of a complex analytic function on a neighborhood of $I_{a,a'}$.

6.2. **The distortion function.** The distortion function τ is defined as

$$\tau(x) \equiv \log |T'(x)|. \tag{231}$$

Since $|T'(x)|$ is positive and has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of the $I_{a,a'}$, it follows that by using the principal branch of the logarithm, τ has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of I.

Recall the definition of the functions Δ_ξ and $\varphi_{\xi,\eta}$ from (35) and (36). It follows from the expanding property of T (see [20, pp. 129-130] for this implication) that if $\xi, \eta \in \Sigma^-$ with $T(I_{\xi_0}) \supset I_j$ and $T(I_{\eta_0}) \supset I_j$ then $\varphi_{\xi,\eta}$ is real analytic on $I_j \times I_j$.

We are going to show that τ has the non local integrability property (NLI) that we require. We say that τ is *cohomologous* to f on K if there is a function g such that

$$\tau(x) = f(x) + g(x) - g(Tx), \quad x \in K. \tag{232}$$

We need the following Lemma from [20, Lemma 4.3]. The Lemma appears in symbolic form in work of Dolgopyat [12, Proposition 4] and apparently goes back to work of Anosov. Recall that the cylinders of length 2 are sets of the form $T^{-1}(I_{j_1}) \cap I_{j_2}$ with j_1 and j_2 in $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 6.1 (Anosov alternative)**.** *Suppose that the transition matrix associated to T and I is symmetric*[8]*. Then the temporal distance function $\varphi_{\xi,\eta}(u,v)$ is zero for all j, all $u, v \in I_j$ and all $\xi, \eta \in \Sigma^-$ with $T(I_{\xi_0}) \supset I_j$ and $T(I_{\eta_0}) \supset I_j$ if and only if τ is cohomologous on K to a function that is constant on cylinders of length 2.*

As in our case the transition matrix is that of the full shift, the Lemma applies. Note from the definition (36) of $\varphi_{\xi,\eta}$ that $\varphi_{\xi,\eta}(v,v) = 0$ for all $v \in I_j \subset T(I_{\xi_0}) \cap T(I_{\eta_0})$. If the property (NLI) did not hold for τ, then for any j, η, ξ as before we would have

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{\xi,\eta}}{\partial u}(u_0, v_0) = 0 \tag{233}$$

for all $u_0, v_0 \in K \cap I_j$. Since $\varphi_{\xi,\eta}$ is real analytic on $I_j \times I_j$ and $I_j \cap K$ contains accumulation points, it would follow that $\varphi_{\xi,\eta} \equiv 0$ on $I_j \times I_j$. Then Lemma 6.1 would imply that τ is cohomologous on K to a function that is constant on cylinders of length 2. We will now show that this cannot be the case.

[8]This is not required but Naud uses it.

We need to recall the correspondence between periodic elements of Σ^+ and the traces and fixed points of corresponding group elements. This correspondence is well known in the setting of Fuchsian groups. We now introduce the notation $i \to j$ whenever $T(I_i) \supset I_j$. Here i and j are in the alphabet $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$.

We write Σ^p for the set of finite sequences of the form

$$j_0 \to j_1 \to j_2 \to j_3 \to \cdots \to j_l = j_0, \tag{234}$$

which we call admissible cycles. Given an admissible cycle c as in (234) it follows that

$$\gamma_c = T_{j_0}^{-1} \circ T_{j_1}^{-1} \circ T_{j_2}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{j_{l-1}}^{-1} \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}} \tag{235}$$

maps I_{j_0} to I_{j_0} and hence by Brouwer's Theorem has a fixed point $q(c) \in I_{j_0}$. This $q(c)$ must be the attracting fixed point of the Möbius transformation γ_c. The fundamental fact that we will use is the formula

$$|\mathrm{tr}(\gamma_c)| = 2\cosh\left(\frac{\tau^l(q(c))}{2}\right). \tag{236}$$

In the setting of Fuchsian groups this is also related to the length of the closed geodesic corresponding to γ_c.

We make use of the following fundamental trace identity

Lemma 6.2 (Trace identity)**.** *For all $g, h \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ we have*

$$\mathrm{tr}(ghgh) - \mathrm{tr}(g^2h^2) = \mathrm{tr}(hgh^{-1}g^{-1}) - 2. \tag{237}$$

Proof. Repeatedly use the identity

$$\mathrm{tr}(AB) = \mathrm{tr}(A)\mathrm{tr}(B) - \mathrm{tr}(AB^{-1}) \tag{238}$$

for $A, B \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$. □

Proposition 6.3. *The distortion function for the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ has the non local integrability property.*

Proof. If τ does not have the non local integrability property, which we assume for a contradiction, then by Lemma 6.1 and our argument from before τ is cohomologous to a function f which is constant on cylinders of length 2. That is

$$\tau(x) = g(x) - g(Tx) + f(x) \tag{239}$$

for some g. If x is a periodic point of T corresponding to a cycle $x \in \Sigma^p$, so that $T^n x = x$ then this implies

$$\tau^n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau(T^i x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g(T^i x) - g(T^{i+1}x) + f(T^i x) = f^n(x). \tag{240}$$

As f is constant on cylinders of length 2 it follows that when x has period $n > 1$, $\tau^n(x)$ only depends on the multiset of pairs (j_i, j_{i+1}) which appear in the cycle c given by

$$j_0 \to j_1 \to \cdots \to j_{n-1} \to j_n = j_0. \tag{241}$$

Now let c_1 and c_2 be cycles of lengths $l_1, l_2 \geq 2$ which begin and end at fixed j_0. Let γ_1 and γ_2 be the corresponding elements of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then the cycle $C_a = c_1.c_2.c_1.c_2$ corresponds to the group element $\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_1\gamma_2$ and the cycle $C_b = c_1.c_1.c_2.c_2$ corresponds to $\gamma_1^2\gamma_2^2$. Here, the symbol . stands for the joining of cycles at their

endpoint vertex j_0. Let $L = 2l_1 + 2l_2$, the period of C_a and C_b, and q_a, q_b the fixed points in I_{j_0} of corresponding group elements. The pairs (j_i, j_{i+1}) which appear in C_a and C_b are the same when counted with multiplicity and so it follows that

$$\tau^L(q_a) = \tau^L(q_b). \tag{242}$$

Now one sees from (236) that

$$|\mathrm{tr}(\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_1\gamma_2)| = |\mathrm{tr}(\gamma_1^2\gamma_2^2)|. \tag{243}$$

It is easy to see by direct calculation that the traces of elements of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ are positive and strictly greater than two. Now Lemma 6.2 gives that $[\gamma_1, \gamma_2] = \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2^{-1}$ is a parabolic element of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$. We can ensure this does not happen and hence obtain a contradiction as follows.

We can choose γ_1 and γ_2 to have different attracting fixed points. This is possible since the periodic orbits of T are dense in K and K has accumulation points in I_{j_0}. Note that γ_1 and γ_2 are loxodromic, meaning that they have two distinct fixed points on the ideal boundary of $\mathbb{H}$. They generate a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$. This implies that they do not have any fixed points in common, since they have different attracting fixed points and a group generated by a pair of distinct loxodromics with only one fixed point in common is not discrete. Now by replacing γ_1 and γ_2 with γ_1^p and γ_2^p for large enough p depending on the separation of the 4 distinct fixed points of γ_1, γ_2, we can ensure γ_1 and γ_2 generate a Schottky sub*group* of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$, in particular one that is freely generated by γ_1, γ_2. In this case $[\gamma_1, \gamma_2]$ cannot be a parabolic, leading to a contradiction.

□

6.3. **The renewal equation on the boundary.** We now show how one can adapt the work of Lalley [17] to get counting estimates in our setting. The arguments of Lalley with the renewal equation do not produce an error term. However, given the strong bounds on the resolvent of the transfer operator that we have produced, it is possible to get a uniform error term in our counting problem. Most of the necessary arguments are given in [5], however there is a small omission from their work which is the bridging between finite sequences, where the renewal equation applies to a counting problem, and infinite sequences where the strong bounds for the transfer operator hold. This bridging is carried out by Lalley in [17, Theorem 4] without any error term. We work here to show that using the expanding property of the map T, this technical difficulty can be overcome. In some sense these arguments are the analog of a lemma of Ruelle from [23] relating the transfer operators to a dynamical zeta function. Consideration of the renewal equation offers an alternative framework to that of zeta functions.

We now adapt Lalley's work to our present framework. For simplicity, we write $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ for the rest of this section. Let $g \in C^1(I)$ be a non-negative function and $x \in I$. We define

$$N(a, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{y : T^n y = x} g(y)\mathbf{1}\{\tau^n(y) \leq a\}, \tag{244}$$

where $\mathbf{1}\{\tau^n(y) \leq a\}$ is the characteristic function of $\{\tau^n(y) \leq a\}$. Only finitely many of the n give a contribution to the sum, since τ is eventually positive. The

renewal equation states

$$N(a,x) = \sum_{y:Ty=x} N(a-\tau(y),y) + g(x)\mathbf{1}\{a \geq 0\}. \tag{245}$$

This is related to the transfer operator $\mathcal{L}_{-s\tau}$ by taking a Laplace transform in the a variable. If one defines

$$n(s,x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sa} N(a,x)da \tag{246}$$

then (245) is transformed into

$$n(s,x) = [\mathcal{L}_{-s\tau} n(s,\cdot)](x) + \frac{g(x)}{s}, \tag{247}$$

or

$$sn(s,x) = [(1-\mathcal{L}_{-s\tau})^{-1} g](x). \tag{248}$$

The congruence version of the renewal equation at level q concerns the quantity

$$N_q(a,x,\varphi) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{y:T^n y=x} g(y)\rho(c_q^n(y))\varphi \mathbf{1}\{\tau^n(y) \leq a\}.$$

where φ is a test function in $\mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q}$ and we recall that ρ is the right regular representation and c_q^n is reduction mod q of the cocycle defined in (69). The congruence renewal equation reads

$$N_q(a,x,\varphi) = \sum_{y:Ty=x} \rho(\pi_q(c_0(y)))N_q(a-\tau(y),y,\varphi) + g(x)\varphi\mathbf{1}\{0 \leq a\}. \tag{249}$$

so that the same arguments from before give

$$sn_q(s,x,\varphi) = [(1-\mathcal{L}_{-s\tau,q})^{-1} g \otimes \varphi](x) \tag{250}$$

where $g \otimes \varphi$ is the vector valued function taking $x \mapsto g(x)\varphi$ and

$$n_q(s,x,\varphi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sa} N_q(a,x,\varphi)da. \tag{251}$$

Notice that N_q and hence n_q is linear in φ. We can split into two cases as we can also write

$$\varphi = \varphi_0 + \varphi' \tag{252}$$

where φ_0 is constant and φ' is orthogonal to constants. The analysis of $N_q(a,x,\varphi_0)$ boils down to that of $N(a,x)$, which is in principle understood without any of the results of this paper. We take up the analysis in the case that

$$\varphi' \in \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q} \ominus 1, \tag{253}$$

that is, orthogonal to constants. Assume this is the case from now on.

Then under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 (we have established the non local integrability property (NLI) for τ and will assume property (MIX) holds), we can estimate $(1-\mathcal{L}_{-s\tau,q})^{-1} g \otimes \varphi'$ since $g \otimes \varphi' \in C^1(I; \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q} \ominus 1)$.

One obtains from (250) and Theorem 1.1 that for any $\eta > 0$

$$|s| \| n_q(s,\bullet,\varphi') \|_{C^1} \leq \begin{cases} Cq^C(1-\rho_0)^{-1} \|g \otimes \varphi\|_{C^1} \text{ if } |b| \leq b_0 \\ C_\eta |b|^{1+\eta}(1-\rho_\eta)^{-1} \|g \otimes \varphi\|_{C^1} \text{ if } |b| > b_0 \end{cases} \tag{254}$$

with the same quantifiers and constants as in Theorem 1.1. Consolidating constants, for any $\eta > 0$ there is $C' = C'(\eta)$ such that

$$|s|\|n_q(s, \bullet, \varphi')\|_{C^1} \leq C' \max(q^C, |b|^{1+\eta})\|g \otimes \varphi\|_{C^1} \tag{255}$$

whenever $|a - s_0| < \epsilon$ for some sufficiently small ϵ.

We also note that given the bounds in Theorem 1.1, it follows that the correspondence

$$s \mapsto (1 - \mathcal{L}_{-s\tau,q})^{-1} g \otimes \varphi' \tag{256}$$

gives a holomorphic family of C^1 functions in the region $|a - s_0| < \epsilon$ for fixed g and φ', hence $n_q(s, x, \varphi')$ is holomorphic for s in this region. Recall that we have $s_0 = \delta_{\mathcal{A}} = \delta$. This is essential for the contour shifting argument to follow. Now we follow technical work of Bourgain, Gamburd and Sarnak [5, pp. 25-26] to extract information about $N_q(a, x, \varphi')$.

Let k be a smooth nonnegative function on $\mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\int k = 1, \tag{257}$$

$$\text{support}(k) \subset [1, 1]\,, \tag{258}$$

and

$$|\hat{k}(\xi)| \leq B \exp(-|\xi|^{1/2}) \tag{259}$$

for some B, where

$$\hat{k}(\xi) \equiv \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-\xi t} k(t) dt. \tag{260}$$

Then let for small $\lambda > 0$

$$k_\lambda(t) = \lambda^{-1} k(t\lambda^{-1}), \tag{261}$$

this has the effect that

$$\hat{k_\lambda}(\xi) = \hat{k}(\lambda\xi), \quad |\hat{k_\lambda}(\xi)| \leq B \exp(-|\lambda\xi|^{1/2}). \tag{262}$$

Consider the smoothed quantity of interest

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k_\lambda(t) N_q(a + t, x, \varphi') dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{s \in \delta + i\mathbf{R}} e^{as} n_q(s, x, \varphi') \hat{k_\lambda}(s) ds. \tag{263}$$

by inverting the Laplace transform and interchanging the order of integration. From (255), n_q is well enough behaved that this is possible. For technical reasons let $\epsilon' = \min(\delta/2, \epsilon/2)$. We can shift the contour to $\Re(s) = \delta - \epsilon'$ to get that the above is the same as

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{s \in \delta - \epsilon' + i\mathbf{R}} e^{as} n_q(s, x, \varphi') \hat{k_\lambda}(s) ds \tag{264}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{a(\delta - \epsilon')} \int_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} e^{ai\theta} n_q(\delta - \epsilon' + i\theta, x, \varphi') \hat{k_\lambda}(\delta - \epsilon' + i\theta) d\theta \tag{265}$$

where $s = \delta - \epsilon' + i\theta$. Putting in the bound (254) for n_q together with (262) gives the new bound

$$\frac{BC'}{2\pi} e^{a(\delta-\epsilon')} \|g \otimes \varphi'\|_{C^1} \left(q^C \int_{|\theta| \leq b_0} |\delta - \epsilon' + i\theta|^{-1} e^{-|\lambda(\delta-\epsilon'+i\theta)|^{1/2}} d\theta \right. \tag{266}$$

$$\left. + \int_{|\theta| > b_0} |\delta - \epsilon' + i\theta|^{-1} |\theta|^{1+\eta} e^{-|\lambda(\delta-\epsilon'+i\theta)|^{1/2}} d\theta \right) \tag{267}$$

$$\leq \frac{BC'}{2\pi} e^{a(\delta-\epsilon')} \|g \otimes \varphi'\|_{C^1} \left(\frac{4q^C b_0}{\delta - \epsilon'} + C''|\lambda|^{-1-\eta} \right) \tag{268}$$

for some new absolute constants C', C''. Putting this together (choosing $\eta = 1$ is enough) gives

Lemma 6.4. *Suppose that Γ has property (MIX) for $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. There is $Q_0 > 0$ provided by Theorem 1.1 and positive constants ϵ', C, κ_1, κ_2 such that for $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $(Q_0, q) = 1$ and any $g \in C^1(I)$, $\varphi' \in \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q} \ominus 1$ we have*

$$\| \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} k_\lambda(t) N_q(a + t, x, \varphi') dt \| < e^{a(\delta-\epsilon')} \|g \otimes \varphi'\|_{C^1} \left(\kappa_1 q^C + \kappa_2 |\lambda|^{-2} \right) \tag{269}$$

where the norm on the left hand side is the one in $\mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q}$.

We now describe $N_q(a, x, \varphi_0)$ with φ_0 a constant function. In this case the counting reduces to the non congruence setting. The following is a straightforward adaptation of [5, Proposition 10.2] to our setting. This is an effectivization of work of Lalley [17], using the work of Naud [20] as input to get a power saving error term. Let $\underline{1}$ be the constant function in $\mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q}$ taking on the value 1.

Lemma 6.5. *There exists $\epsilon'' > 0$ such that for any q, $g \in C^1(I)$ we have*

$$\int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} k_\lambda(t) N_q(a + t, x, \underline{1}) dt = C(x, g) e^{\delta a} \underline{1} + O(\|g\|_{C^1} |\Gamma_q| \lambda^{-3} e^{(\delta-\epsilon'')a}), \tag{270}$$

where

$$C(x, g) = \left(\frac{\int g d\nu_{-\delta\tau}}{\delta \int \tau d\nu_0} \right) h_{-\delta\tau}(x). \tag{271}$$

is a C^1 function of x and the error is estimated in C^1 norm, and ν, h are the measures and functions coming from the Theorem 2.3.

We remark that the $|\Gamma_q| \|g\|_{C^1}$ in the error term above comes from $\|g \otimes \varphi_0\|_{C^1}$. We can now put these Lemmas together to get

Proposition 6.6. *Suppose that Γ has property (MIX) for $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. There exists $Q_0 > 0$ provided by Theorem 1.1 such that when $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $(Q_0, q) = 1$, the following holds. There is $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any non negative $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}^{\Gamma_q} \subset \mathbf{C}^{\Gamma_q}$,*

$$N_q(a, x, \varphi) = \frac{C(x, g) e^{\delta a} \langle \varphi, \underline{1} \rangle \underline{1}}{|\Gamma_q|} + O\left(e^{(\delta-\epsilon)a} q^C \|g\|_{C^1} \|\varphi\| \right) \tag{272}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard inner product.

Proof. Decompose φ as

$$\varphi = \frac{\langle \varphi, \underline{1}\rangle \underline{1}}{|\Gamma_q|} + \varphi'. \tag{273}$$

Then Lemmas 6.4 and 6.5 give that

$$\int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} k_\lambda(t) N_q(a+t,x,\varphi)dt = \frac{C(x,g)e^{\delta a}\langle \varphi, \underline{1}\rangle \underline{1}}{|\Gamma_q|} \tag{274}$$

$$+ e^{a(\delta-\epsilon)} O\left(\|g\|_{C^1}\|\varphi\|(\kappa_1 q^C + \kappa_2\lambda^{-2} + \lambda^{-3})\right) \tag{275}$$

by using that

$$\|g\otimes\varphi'\|_{C^1} \leq \|\varphi'\|\|g\|_{C^1} \tag{276}$$

and replacing ϵ', ϵ'' with a new small enough ϵ. Now taking $\lambda = e^{-a\epsilon/6}$ we have that the error term is

$$e^{a(\delta-\epsilon/2)} O(q^C \|g\|_{C^1}\|\varphi\|). \tag{277}$$

Since φ is non negative, $N_q(a,x,\varphi)$ is increasing in a and hence

$$N_q(a-\lambda,x,\varphi) \leq \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} k_\lambda(t) N_q(a+t,x,\varphi)dt \leq N_q(a+\lambda,x,\varphi) \tag{278}$$

which is enough to get the result given the exponentially shrinking λ, by replacing ϵ with some smaller value. □

6.4. **Proof of main Corollary 1.2.** So far we have given good bounds for the quantity $N(a,x,\varphi)$. In practice however, it is not this quantity that one is interested in but the related $\mathbf{R}^{\Gamma_q}$ valued function

$$N_q^*(a,\gamma_0,\varphi) \equiv \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma\cup\{1\}\,:d(o,\gamma\gamma_0 o)-d(o,\gamma_0 o)\leq a} G(\gamma\gamma_0 o)\rho(\pi_q(\gamma)).\varphi \tag{279}$$

where

- G is a non negative function on $\mathbb{H}\cup\mathbf{R}$ with the property that there exist an integer M and neighborhood J_M of the length M cylinders in I such that G is constant on J_M. We write g for the restriction of G to $\mathbf{R}$.
- $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}^{\Gamma_q}$, $\pi_q : \Gamma \to \Gamma_q$ is reduction mod q and ρ is the right regular representation of Γ_q.
- $o \in \mathbb{H}$ is a fixed origin and $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$.

We now show how to relate the quantities N_q^* and N_q. Let d_E denote Euclidean distance in the upper half plane. We need to note the following Lemma.

Lemma 6.7. *There is some $r>0$ such that T has analytic extension to a neighborhood*

$$T : I^{(r)} = \{x\in\mathbb{H}\cup\mathbf{R} \,:\, d_E(x,I) < r\} \to \mathbb{H}\cup\mathbf{R}. \tag{280}$$

Moreover, there is $0<\kappa<1$ such that if $x\in I^{(r)}$ then

$$d_E(g_i x, I) \leq \kappa d_E(x,I) \tag{281}$$

for all $i\in\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}$. Here g_i is a generator of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof. The fact that T has a complex analytic extension to a neighborhood of I is clear. The inequality (281) follows from the fact that Möbius transformations preserve circles and the expanding property of T proved earlier. □

Let $\Gamma^{(n)}$ denote those $\gamma \in \Gamma$ which are a product of at least n generators. Define for $n \geq 1$ the shift

$$\sigma : \Gamma^{(n)} \to \Gamma^{(n-1)}, \quad \sigma(g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \dots g_{i_n}) = g_{i_2} \dots g_{i_n} \tag{282}$$

with the convention that $\Gamma^{(0)} = \Gamma \cup \{e\}$ and $\sigma(g_i) = e$ for all $i \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$. Define for $\gamma \in \Gamma$

$$\tau_*(\gamma) = d(o, \gamma o) - d(o, (\sigma\gamma)o). \tag{283}$$

Define for $n \geq N$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma^{(n)}$

$$\tau_*^N(\gamma) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \tau_*(\sigma^j \gamma) = d(o, \gamma o) - d(o, (\sigma^N \gamma)o). \tag{284}$$

We can now recast N_q^* as

$$N_q^*(a, \gamma_0, \varphi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma : \sigma^n \gamma = \gamma_0} G(\gamma) \rho(\pi_q(\gamma \gamma_0^{-1})) \cdot \varphi \mathbf{1}\{\tau_*^n(\gamma) \leq a\}. \tag{285}$$

One obtains again a renewal equation:

$$N_q^*(a, \gamma_0, \varphi) = \sum_{\gamma : \sigma\gamma = \gamma_0} N_q^*(a - \tau_*(\gamma), \gamma, [\rho(\pi_q(\gamma\gamma_0^{-1}))\varphi]) + G(\gamma_0)\varphi \mathbf{1}\{a \geq 0\} \tag{286}$$

where ρ is the right regular representation.

Lemma 6.8. *Fix $k_0 \in K$. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma^{(n)}$ and $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma^{(N)}$. Then*

$$\tau_*^n(\gamma\gamma_0) = \tau^n(\gamma\gamma_0 k_0) + O(\kappa^N). \tag{287}$$

Proof. There is some n_0 such that all $\gamma \in \Gamma^{(n_0)}$, $\gamma o \in I^{(r)}$. Then by Lemma 6.7, for all $N > n_0$ we have for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ that

$$d_E(\gamma\gamma_0 o, \gamma\gamma_0 k_0) \ll \kappa^{n+N-n_0}. \tag{288}$$

with implied constant depending only on $\mathcal{A}$, and κ as in Lemma 6.7. We also have

$$\tau_*(g_{j_0} g_{j_1} g_{j_2} \dots g_{j_{n-1}} \gamma_0) = -\log |g'_{j_0}(g_{j_2} \dots g_{j_{n-1}} \gamma_0 o)| + o(d_E(g_{j_2} \dots g_{j_N} \gamma_0 o, \mathbf{R})). \tag{289}$$

A similar estimate is given in [17, pg. 41]. It follows then that

$$\tau_*(g_{j_0} g_{j_1} g_{j_2} \dots g_{j_{n-1}} \gamma_0) = -\log |g'_{j_0}(g_{j_2} \dots g_{j_{n-1}} \gamma_0 o)| + O(\kappa^{n+N-1-n_0}). \tag{290}$$

Since there is some uniform bound for the derivative of $\log |[g_i]'|$ close to I, this together with (288) implies

$$\tau_*(g_{j_0} g_{j_1} g_{j_2} \dots g_{j_{n-1}} \gamma_0) = -\log |g'_{j_0}(g_{j_2} \dots g_{j_{n-1}} \gamma_0 k_0)| + O(\kappa^{n+N-1-n_0}). \tag{291}$$

By iterating and summing the geometric series it follows that

$$\tau_*^n(g_{j_0} g_{j_1} g_{j_2} \dots g_{j_{n-1}} \gamma_0) = -\log |(g_{j_0} g_{j_2} \dots g_{j_{n-1}})' \gamma_0 k_0)| + O(\kappa^{N-n_0}) \tag{292}$$

or what is the same,

$$\tau_*^n(\gamma\gamma_0) = \tau^n(\gamma\gamma_0 k_0) + O(\kappa^{N-n_0}). \tag{293}$$

We can absorb n_0 into the implied constant, proving the Lemma. □

Lemma 6.9. *Fix some $k_0 \in K$ and suppose φ is non negative. There are N_0, $\kappa < 1$ and C depending on G such that if $\gamma_0 = g_{j_0} g_{j_1} g_{j_2} \dots g_{j_N}$ with $N > N_0$ we have $\gamma_0 \in I^{(r)}$ and*

$$N_q(a - C\kappa^N, \gamma_0 k_0, \varphi) \leq N_q^*(a, \gamma_0, \varphi) \leq N_q(a + C\kappa^N, \gamma_0 k_0, \varphi). \tag{294}$$

The inequalities are between functions in $\mathbf{R}^{\Gamma_q}$.

Proof. We have for fixed $k_0 \in K$ and $\gamma_0 = g_{j_0} g_{j_1} g_{j_2} \dots g_{j_N}$ a one-to-one correspondence

$$k : \gamma \to I, \quad k(\gamma) = \gamma k_0 \tag{295}$$

with the property that $\sigma^n \gamma = \gamma_0$ if and only if $T^n k(\gamma) = \gamma_0 k_0 = k(\gamma_0)$. Under this correspondence one has

$$N_q^*(a, g_{j_0} g_{j_1} g_{j_2} \dots g_{j_N}, \varphi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma : \sigma^n \gamma = \gamma_0} G(\gamma) \rho(\pi_q(\gamma \gamma_0^{-1})) \varphi \mathbf{1}\{\tau_*^n(\gamma) \leq a\} \tag{296}$$

and

$$N_q(a, \gamma_0 k_0, \varphi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma : \sigma^n \gamma = \gamma_0} g(k(\gamma)) \rho(\pi_q(\gamma \gamma_0^{-1})) \varphi \mathbf{1}\{\tau^n(k(\gamma)) \leq a\}. \tag{297}$$

These can now be compared term by term. If N is large enough, depending on G, then $G(\gamma) = g(k(\gamma))$ for all terms as all the γ will lie in the neighborhood J_M. On the other hand, we have from Lemma 6.8 that if $\sigma^n \gamma = \gamma_0$

$$\mathbf{1}\{\tau_*^n(\gamma) \leq a\} \leq \mathbf{1}\{\tau^n(k(\gamma)) \leq a + C\kappa^{N-n_0}\} \tag{298}$$

for some C and n_0 and

$$\mathbf{1}\{\tau^n(k(\gamma)) \leq a - C\kappa^{N-n_0}\} \leq \mathbf{1}\{\tau_*^n(\gamma) \leq a\}. \tag{299}$$

Given that φ and hence $\rho(\pi_q(\gamma\gamma_0^{-1}))\varphi$ are positive functions, inserting these inequalities into (296) gives the result, by increasing n_0 to N_0 so that the condition $\gamma \in J_M$ also holds when $\sigma^n = \gamma$ for some $n \geq 0$. □

Following Lalley [17, pg. 22] we iterate the finite renewal equation (286) to obtain

(300)

$$N_q^*(a, \gamma_0, \varphi) = \sum_{\gamma : \sigma^n \gamma = \gamma_0} N_q^*(a - \tau_*^n(\gamma), \gamma, \rho[\pi_q(\gamma\gamma_0^{-1})]\varphi)$$

$$+ \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\gamma : \sigma^m \gamma = \gamma_0} G(\gamma) \rho[\pi_q(\gamma\gamma_0^{-1})]\varphi \mathbf{1}\{a - \tau_*^m(\gamma) \geq 0\} + G(\gamma_0)\varphi \mathbf{1}\{a \geq 0\}. \tag{301}$$

We want to increase n so we note that the second line is bounded by

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} |\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}|^m \|G\|_\infty \|\varphi\| \ll \|G\|_\infty \|\varphi\| |\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}|^n. \tag{302}$$

We will take $n = \lfloor ca \rfloor$ for small enough c. This gives

(303)

$$N_q^*(a, \gamma_0, \varphi) = \sum_{\gamma : \sigma^n \gamma = \gamma_0} N_q^*(a - \tau_*^n(\gamma), \gamma, \rho[\pi_q(\gamma\gamma_0^{-1})]\varphi) + O(\|G\|_\infty \|\varphi\| e^{2c \log |\mathcal{A}| a}).$$

We can now use Lemma 6.9 to get that up to $O(\|G\|_\infty \|\varphi\| e^{2c \log |\mathcal{A}|a})$, $N_q^*(a, \gamma_0, \varphi)$ is sandwiched between

$$\sum_{\gamma:\sigma^n\gamma=\gamma_0} N_q(a - \tau_*^n(\gamma) - C\kappa^n, \gamma k_0, \rho[\pi_q(\gamma\gamma_0^{-1})]\varphi) \tag{304}$$

and

$$\sum_{\gamma:\sigma^n\gamma=\gamma_0} N_q(a - \tau_*^n(\gamma) + C\kappa^n, \gamma k_0, \rho[\pi_q(\gamma\gamma_0^{-1})]\varphi). \tag{305}$$

Using the precise asymptotics of Proposition 6.6, under the hypothesis that $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $(Q_0, q) = 1$ where Γ has property (MIX) we have that

(306)

$$N_q^*(a, \gamma_0, \varphi) = (1 + O(\delta C \kappa^n)) \frac{e^{\delta a}}{|\Gamma_q|} \langle \varphi, \underline{1} \rangle \underline{1} \sum_{\gamma:\sigma^n\gamma=\gamma_0} C(\gamma k_0, g) e^{-\delta\tau_*^n(\gamma)}$$

$$+ O\left(q^C \|g\|_{C^1} \|\varphi\| e^{(\delta-\epsilon)a} \sum_{\gamma:\sigma^n\gamma=\gamma_0} e^{-(\delta-\epsilon)\tau_*^n(\gamma)} \right) + O(\|G\|_\infty \|\varphi\| e^{2c \log |\mathcal{A}|a}). \tag{307}$$

Given that $n = \lfloor ca \rfloor$ for some small c yet to be chosen, the κ^n term will not be significant. We do however have to describe the terms

$$\sum_{\gamma:\sigma^n\gamma=\gamma_0} C(\gamma k_0, g) e^{-\delta\tau_*^n(\gamma)} \tag{308}$$

and

$$\sum_{\gamma:\sigma^n\gamma=\gamma_0} e^{-(\delta-\epsilon)\tau_*^n(\gamma)}. \tag{309}$$

The latter can be bounded using Lemma 6.8 with $N = 0$ to give $\tau_*^n(\gamma) = \tau^n(\gamma k_0) + O(1)$ and hence

$$\sum_{\gamma:\sigma^n\gamma=\gamma_0} e^{-(\delta-\epsilon)\tau_*^n(\gamma)} \ll \sum_{k:T^n k=\gamma_0 k_0} e^{-(\delta-\epsilon)\tau^n(k)} = [\mathcal{L}_{-(\delta-\epsilon)}^n 1](\gamma_0 k_0). \tag{310}$$

We know that $\mathcal{L}_{-(\delta-\epsilon)\tau}$ is bounded by $\exp(P(-(\delta-\epsilon)\tau))$ by the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theorem. We now therefore require $n < \frac{a\epsilon}{2P(-(\delta-\epsilon)\tau)}$ so that

$$[\mathcal{L}_{-(\delta-\epsilon)}^n 1](\gamma_0 k_0) \leq \exp(nP(-(\delta-\epsilon)\tau)) \leq \exp(a\epsilon/2). \tag{311}$$

To describe the main term

$$\frac{e^{\delta a}}{|\Gamma_q|} \langle \varphi, \underline{1} \rangle \underline{1} \sum_{\gamma:\sigma^n\gamma=\gamma_0} C(\gamma k_0, g) e^{-\delta\tau_*^n(\gamma)}, \tag{312}$$

we require the following result of Lalley (cf. [17, Theorem 4]). It says that there is a version of the maximal eigenfunction $h_{-\delta\tau}$ on Γ, as opposed to K.

Lemma 6.10. *Fix $k_0 \in K$. There is a unique positive function $h_* : \Gamma \to \mathbf{R}$ such that there is $\theta > 1$ so that if $\gamma \in \Gamma^{(n)}$*

$$h_*(\gamma) = h_{-\delta\tau}(\gamma k_0) + O(\theta^{-n}). \tag{313}$$

Also, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$h_*(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma' : \sigma(\gamma') = \gamma} e^{-\delta \tau_*(\gamma')} h_*(\gamma'). \tag{314}$$

Now recall the definition of $C(\cdot, g)$ from Lemma 6.5. If we define the corresponding function on Γ according to the pairing of h_* with $h_{-\delta\tau}$,

$$C_*(\gamma, g) = \left(\frac{\int g d\nu_{-\delta\tau}}{\delta \int \tau d\nu_0} \right) h_*(\gamma), \tag{315}$$

we get from Lemma 6.10 that

$$C_*(\gamma, g) = C(\gamma k_0, g) + O(\|g\|_{C^1} \theta^{-n}) \tag{316}$$

when $\gamma \in \Gamma^{(n)}$. This means that the main term contribution (312) to $N_q^*(a, \gamma_0, \varphi)$ is

$$\frac{e^{\delta a}}{|\Gamma_q|} \langle \varphi, \underline{1} \rangle \underline{1} \left(\sum_{\gamma : \sigma^n \gamma = \gamma_0} C_*(\gamma, g) e^{-\delta \tau_*^n(\gamma)} + O(\|g\|_{C^1} \theta^{-n} \sum_{\gamma : \sigma^n \gamma = \gamma_0} e^{-\delta \tau_*^n(\gamma)}) \right) \tag{317}$$

$$= \frac{e^{\delta a}}{|\Gamma_q|} C_*(\gamma_0, g) \langle \varphi, \underline{1} \rangle \underline{1} + e^{\delta a} O(\theta^{-n} \|\varphi\| \|g\|_{C^1}) \tag{318}$$

by using (314) and a calculation similar to that in (310) to give

$$\sum_{\gamma : \sigma^n \gamma = \gamma_0} e^{-\delta \tau_*^n(\gamma)} \ll [\mathcal{L}_{-\delta}^n 1](\gamma_0 k_0) \leq 1. \tag{319}$$

We now let $n = \lfloor ca \rfloor$ with

$$c = \min \left(\frac{\delta - \epsilon}{4 \log |\mathcal{A}|}, \frac{\epsilon}{2P(-(\delta - \epsilon)\tau)} \right). \tag{320}$$

Then the result of the preceding discussion is that

$$N_q^*(a, \gamma_0, \varphi) = \frac{e^{\delta a}}{|\Gamma_q|} C_*(\gamma_0, g) \langle \varphi, \underline{1} \rangle \underline{1} + O\left((\|\varphi\| (\|g\|_{C^1} + \|G\|_\infty) q^C e^{(\delta - \epsilon')a} \right) \tag{321}$$

for some $\epsilon' = \epsilon'(\kappa, \theta, \epsilon, \mathcal{A})$. This proves our main Corollary 1.2 given the following observations. When $\varphi(\gamma) = \mathbf{1}\{\gamma = \xi\}$ we have that

$$\langle \varphi, \underline{1} \rangle = 1 \tag{322}$$

and hence, under the mixing hypothesis on Γ, evaluating $N_q^*(a, \gamma_0, \mathbf{1}\{\gamma = \xi\})$ gives

$$\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}} : d(o, \gamma\gamma_0 o) - d(o, \gamma_0 o) \leq a \\ \pi_q(\gamma) = \xi}} G(\gamma\gamma_0 o) = \frac{e^{\delta a}}{|\Gamma_q|} C_*(\gamma_0, g) + O\left((\|g\|_{C^1} + \|G\|_\infty) q^C e^{(\delta - \epsilon')a} \right). \tag{323}$$

In addition, we have the identity

$$\|\gamma\|^2 = 2 \cosh(d(o, \gamma o)) \tag{324}$$

when o is chosen to be $i \in \mathbb{H}$. Then the condition $d(o, \gamma\gamma_0 o) - d(o, \gamma_0 o) \leq a$ becomes

$$\frac{\|\gamma\gamma_0\|}{\|\gamma_0\|} \leq R, \tag{325}$$

where $R = \sqrt{2\cosh(a)} = e^{a/2} + O(e^{-3a/2})$. With these remarks one obtains our main Corollary 1.2.

References

[1] Jean Bourgain. Partial quotients and representation of rational numbers. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 350(15-16):727–730, 2012.

[2] Jean Bourgain. Some Diophantine applications of the theory of group expansion. In *Thin groups and superstrong approximation*, volume 61 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, 1–22. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014.

[3] Jean Bourgain, Alex Furman, Elon Lindenstrauss, and Shahar Mozes. Stationary measures and equidistribution for orbits of nonabelian semigroups on the torus. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 24(1):231–280, 2011.

[4] Jean Bourgain and Alex Gamburd. Uniform expansion bounds for Cayley graphs of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 167(2):625–642, 2008.

[5] Jean Bourgain, Alex Gamburd, and Peter Sarnak. Generalization of Selberg's $\frac{3}{16}$ theorem and affine sieve. *Acta Math.*, 207(2):255–290, 2011.

[6] Jean Bourgain and Alex Kontorovich. Beyond expansion II: Low-lying fundamental geodesics. *Preprint, arXiv:math.NT/1406.1366*, 2014.

[7] Jean Bourgain and Alex Kontorovich. On Zaremba's conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 180(1):137–196, 2014.

[8] Jean Bourgain and Péter P. Varjú. Expansion in $SL_d(\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z})$, q arbitrary. *Invent. Math.*, 188(1):151–173, 2012.

[9] Jack Button. All Fuchsian Schottky groups are classical Schottky groups. In *The Epstein birthday schrift*, volume 1 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 117–125 (electronic). Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 1998.

[10] Giuliana Davidoff, Peter Sarnak, and Alain Valette. *Elementary number theory, group theory, and Ramanujan graphs*, volume 55 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[11] Dmitry Dolgopyat. On decay of correlations in Anosov flows. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 147(2):357–390, 1998.

[12] Dmitry Dolgopyat. Prevalence of rapid mixing in hyperbolic flows. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 18(5):1097–1114, 1998.

[13] Doug Hensley. Continued fraction Cantor sets, Hausdorff dimension and functional analysis. *J. Number theory*, 40(3), 336–358, 1992

[14] ShinnYih Huang. An improvement to Zaremba's conjecture. *Preprint*, ArXiv 1310.3772

[15] Oliver Jenkinson. On the density of Hausdorff dimensions of bounded type continued fraction Cantor sets:the Texan conjecture. *Stoch. Dyn.,*, 4(1), 63–76, 2004

[16] Oliver Jenkinson and Mark Pollicott. Computing the dimension of dynamically defined sets: E_2 and bounded continued fractions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 21 (5), 1429–1445 (2001)

[17] Steven P. Lalley. Renewal theorems in symbolic dynamics, with applications to geodesic flows, non-Euclidean tessellations and their fractal limits. *Acta Math.*, 163(1-2):1–55, 1989.

[18] Wilhelm Magnus, Abraham Karrass, and Donald Solitar. *Combinatorial group theory*. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, second edition, 2004. Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations.

[19] Amir Mohammadi, and Hee Oh. Matrix coefficients, Counting and Primes for orbits of geometrically finite groups. *To appear in JEMS.*, ArXiv: 1208.4139

[20] Frédéric Naud. Expanding maps on Cantor sets and analytic continuation of zeta functions. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 38(1):116–153, 2005.

[21] Hee Oh and Dale Winter. Uniform exponential mixing and resonance free regions for convex cocompact congruence subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. *Preprint*, arXiv:1410.4401

[22] William Parry and Mark Pollicott. Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics. *Astérisque*, (187-188):268, 1990.

[23] David Ruelle. An extension of the theory of Fredholm determinants. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (72):175–193 (1991), 1990.

[24] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Trees*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. Translated from the French original by John Stillwell, Corrected 2nd printing of the 1980 English translation.
[25] Luchezar Stoyanov. Spectrum of the Ruelle operator and exponential decay of correlations for open billiard flows. *Amer. J. Math.*, 123(4):715–759, 2001.
[26] S. K. Zaremba. Good lattice points, discrepancy, and numerical integration. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* 73:293-317, 1966
[27] S. K. Zaremba. La méthode des "bons treillis" pour le calcul des intégrales multiples. In *Applications of number theory to numerical analysis (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Montreal, Montreal, Que., 1971)*, pages 39–119. Academic Press, New York, 1972.

School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540
E-mail address: mmagee@ias.edu

Mathematics department, Yale university, New Haven, CT 06511 and Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul, Korea
E-mail address: hee.oh@yale.edu

Department of Mathematics, Brown University, Providence, RI 02906
E-mail address: dale_winter@brown.edu